INDEX—GOVERNMENTAL MARKETING BARRIERS

Administrative Procedure
wide discretionary authority delegated to licensing boards, 241-42, 244; flexibility of, under antitrust enforcement preferred to single-industry legislative restrictions on marketing integration, 301-02; capable of effecting marketing barriers, 360, 376-81; general practical finality of, 378-79; expression of confidence in, as producing fewer barriers than result from legislation, 379-80. 

American Stores Co. v. Boardman, 337.

Anti-Integration Legislation
restrictions on horizontal integration in distribution: anti-department store laws, 275-76, anti-utility merchandising laws, 276-77, antitrust decree against handling of "groceries" by packers, 277-78, prohibitions on sale of food by drug stores, 279-80, restrictions on motor car dealers engaging in unrelated lines, 281-82, restrictions on integration of retailing of liquor and other products, 282-83, limitations on sale of pharmaceutical products, 284-85, horizontal integration prohibited to chains, 285-86; limitations on vertical integration of distributing units, 286-87; restrictions on vertical integration of production and distribution; in alcoholic beverage field, 287-88, in manufacture and retailing of ice cream, 288-89, in motion picture production and exhibition, 289-90, by chains, 290; economic implications of: integrational restrictions on sale of alcoholic beverages, 294, divestiture effected by packer consent decree, 295-96, experimental decree falling short of divestiture in motion picture field, 299, prohibition of manufacturing by retailer or user, 299-300, anti-utility merchandising laws, 302; aimed at manufacturing by chains to implement fair trade, unfair sales and anti-price discrimination laws, 316; implementation of, by administrative action, 381; extreme judicial tolerance of laws prohibiting sale of food by drug stores, 389; discussion of conflict between Department of Justice and National Defense Advisory Comm. over divestiture proceedings in petroleum industry, 397; seriousness of, to national defense efforts, 400.

Anti-Price Discrimination Laws
extent, purpose and character, 341. See also Robinson-Patman Act.

Antitrust Legislation
packer consent decree, interpretation, 277-79, 286-87; economic comment on packer consent decree, 299-300; experimental motion picture producers decree, 290; public control under, preferable to single-industry legislative restrictions on marketing integration, 301-02; viewed as favorable to rather than restrictive of development of monopolistic competition in distribution, 312-13; applicability of, to marketing barriers queried, 390; relation of, to national defense, 397.

Anti-Utility Merchandising Laws
as a form of functional limitation on entry into the market, 250; viewed as restrictions on horizontal marketing integration, 256-77; comment on economic desirability of, 302. 

Arnold, Thrusman W.
changing attitude toward publicly-created marketing barriers, 207.

Austria
internal marketing barriers in, as outstanding example of economic effects of such barriers in Europe, 402-09.

Automobile Dealers
as subject to requirements pertaining to qualifications, character and fitness, 241; financial and bonding requirements for licenses, 243; multifarious grounds for revocation of license of, 245; unique position among retail merchants, 261; clothing private barrier activities of, with legislative sanction, 262; price-fixation for, 282-83; restrictions on, engaging in unrelated lines, 281-82; municipal ordinances in aid of, 362.

Baker v. Daly, 251.

Barbers
imposition upon, of rigid requirements as to qualifications, character and fitness, 238-39; bases for revocation or suspension of licenses of, 246-47; changing judicial attitude toward regulation of opening and closing hours of, 254-56; conflicting judicial reception accorded price-fixing for, 259-60; administrative discretion exercised in licensing of, 379.


Belk Bros. v. Maxwell, 344.

Best & Co., Inc. v. Maxwell, 370, 384.


Bradley v. Richmond, 366.

Building Contractors
imposition upon, of requirements as to qualifications, character and fitness, 240-41; financial and bonding requirements for licenses, 243; exacting restrictions imposed upon, with respect to products used, 246; liable to suspension or revocation of license for gross negligence, 247; broad definition of the trade as a functional limitation of entry of, into business, 251.

Chain Stores
prohibitions or limitations on integration by, 285-86, 290-91; attack upon manufacture by, economic appraisal, 299; significance of, to condition of monopolistic competition in distribution, 306; taxation of, 334-339; non-tax legislation directed against, 339-41; minimization of legislation against, 341-45; relation to supermarkets, 345; comparison
of prices of, with independents, 351; economic analysis of usual arguments against: unfair competitive tactics, 352-54, unfairness to employees, 354-55, danger of elimination of independent, 355-56, monopolistic tendencies, 356-57; municipal taxation directed at, 365; possibility of favorable or unfavorable treatment of, in state purchasing, 380; present judicial attitude makes heavy taxation possible against, 388; relative efficiency of, 393; expansion of, not likely, 394; likely effect of defense prosperity on, 394.  

Chain Store Taxes purpose and unintended effects of, 317; early history of, 334-35; Supreme Court cases on, 335-36; recent state cases on, 336-37; municipal ordinances levying, 338; status of, today, 338; Patman Bill for, 338-39; economic effects of, 341-42; applicability to voluntary chains, 343-44; devices for minimization of, 344-45; as partial cause of development of supermarkets, 345; improper corrective for dangers alleged to exist in chains, 358; municipal, as expression of favoritism for orthodox channels of distribution, 361; types of, imposed by municipalities, 365; validity of classification used by cities for, 366; judicial limitations on amount of, when imposed by municipalities, 367-68; probable constitutional limits to, 388; regarded as a barrier to national defense, 390-400.  


Concentration in Distributive Trades extent and character of horizontal, 304-06; efforts at vertical: by manufacturers, 306-09, by dealers, 309-11; monopolistic practices induced by, 310, 311; possible effect of defense movements on, 393-95.  

Cosmetologists broad definition of cosmetic therapy as functional limitation on entry of, into the market, 250-51.  

Council of State Governments requests Marketing Laws Survey to digest state trade barrier laws, 213; Director of, proposes joint federal-state committee to combat interstate trade barriers, 221; Fifth General Assembly of, reaffirms stand against trade barriers, 221-22.  


In re Debs, 219.  

Department Stores early anti-department store laws, 275-76, 323-24; represents earliest development of large-scale retailing, 305; restrictive taxation of, 364; likely judicial attitude toward heavy taxation of, 388; possible effects of national defense movement on, 395.  

Drugists sale of certain pharmaceutical products limited to, 249, 284-85; sale by, of food for on-premise consumption, limited or prohibited, 249, 279-280; ownership of drug stores limited to, 251-52; sale of alcoholic beverages limited to, 282.  

Economic Evaluation of interstate trade barriers, 214-17; of use taxes, 225-33; of general restrictions on entry of persons and goods into the market, 234-35, 263; of governmental product favoritism, 271-72; of business boundary laws, 292-302; of trade barriers generally, judged against background of condition of monopolistic competition in distribution, 304; of NRA, fair trade, unfair sales and anti-price discrimination laws, 313-16; of legislative intervention in the conflict between orthodox and direct-selling distribution channels, 332-33; of anti-chain legislation, 341-47; of large-scale retailing: ability to undersell, 348-51, improved retailing practices, 351-52, attractive profits, 352-53; of agitation against large-scale retailing, 353-57; of municipal barrier activity, 375; of barriers effaced by administration, 381; of anti-competitive laws, 390; of economic barriers to national defense, 392-96; of legislative barriers to national defense, 397-401; of effects of internal marketing barriers in Austria, 402-09.  

Fair Trade Acts legal effect, 313; extent, general provisions and validity of, 340; criticized as anti-competitive, 390; criticized as conflicting with national defense interests, 399; economic effect of, in Austria, 404, 406-09.  

Fox v. Standard Oil Co., 335.  


Good Humor Corp. v. City of Long Beach, 332.  


Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. City of Columbus, 368.  


Hawkers restrictions on, favoring local residents, 257; criticism of special limitations on, 258; significance of, in Middle Ages and early America, 318-20; economic status today, 320; types of state regulation of, 320-23; judicial attitude toward legislation restrictive of, 333; municipal restrictions directed at, 361; scope of municipal power over, 374. See also Non-Residents.  


Ice Cream Dealers exclusion from or specific allowance of ice cream manufacture by, 288-89; prohibition on manufacture by, referred to, 390.  

Interdepartmental Committee on Interstate Trade Barriers requests Marketing Laws Survey to digest state
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trade barrier laws, 213; composition, 218; moves to mitigate trade barriers, 218; recommendations of its Legal Subcommittee on means for combating interstate trade barriers, 219-21.

INTERSTATE TRADE BARRIERS

definition, 209; types, 209-10; powers under which enacted, 210; historical background, 210-12; present extent: summary, 213, margarine, 213, state preference laws, 213-14, motor truck regulations, 214, inspection and quarantine regulations, 214; economic implications: as respects shipping, 214, inspection and quarantine regulations, 214; preference laws, 213-14, motor truck regulations, 214; historical background, 210-12; present extent of tendency to associate marketing barrier laws, 287; composition, 218; moves to mitigate trade barriers, 218; recommendations of its Legal Subcommittee on means for combating interstate trade barriers, 219-21.

INTERSTATE TRADE BARRIERS

definition, 209; types, 209-10; powers under which enacted, 210; historical background, 210-12; present extent: summary, 213, margarine, 213, state preference laws, 213-14, motor truck regulations, 214, inspection and quarantine regulations, 214; economic implications: as respects shipping by motor carrier, 215, as respects oleomargarine, 215-16; social and political results of, 217; trends in proposed solutions for: recommendations of the Legal Subcommittee of the Interdepartmental Committee on Interstate Trade Barriers, 218-21, anti-trade-barrier resolutions of the Fifth General Assembly of the Council of State Governments, 1941, 218-22; definitions of trade barrier, 225; judicial judgments respecting barrier aspects of use tax not conclusive, 226-28; multiple tax burdens possible in use taxes may constitute, 226-28; imposition by, 229-30; incidence and unequal burden of use taxes constitute, 231-34; as conditions subsequent to entry of products and services, 247; geographical restrictions on doing of business resembling, 256-38; close interrelationship between some forms of governmental product favoritism and, 265-67; effected by municipal ordinances, 360-61; judicial attitude toward, 370-71; effect of ordinance on interstate commerce, and non-residents, 370-73; effect of ordinance with respect to extraterritorial operation, 373-74; early attitude of, toward marketing barriers, 382; contrasting present-day indulgent attitude of, 383-84, 389-90; on "sticker ordinances," 385; on interstate barriers, 386-88; on anti-margarine taxes, 388-89; on anti-integration legislation, 389.

C. D. Kenney Co. v. Town of Breward, 372.
Kroger Grocery and Baking Co. v. City of St. Louis, 366.

LICENSING

extent of constitutional control of competitive practices by, still conjectural, 235; evaluation of types of; four types today, 236; functional breakdown of licensing legislation: licensing per se, 237, licensing of qualifications, 237-42, imposition by, of other conditions preceding to market entry, 242-44, conditions subsequent to market entry imposed by, 244-46, functional limitations, restricting entry of persons into business, effected by, 248-54, time restrictions imposed by, 245-56, place restrictions imposed by, 256-58, price restrictions effected by, 258-63; general economic judgment upon, 263.

Liggett v. Lee, 335, 344.

liquor dealers

sale of alcoholic beverages limited to, 282; vertical integration of retailing with wholesaling or manufacturing prohibited, 286, 287-88; comment on economic implications of business boundary laws affecting, 294; extreme judicial tolerance of discriminatory taxation affecting, 389.

LOCAL PREFERENCE LAWS

state, 213-14; municipal, 375; marketing barriers effected through administration of, 379.

A. Magnano Co. v. Hamilton, 388.

mail-order houses

development as large-scale retailers, 305-06, 323; legislative and popular opposition to, 324; present economic status of, 324-25; establishment of retail chains by, 325; "sticker ordinances" directed at, 350-61; possibility of favoritism of or discrimination against, in state purchasing, 380; administrative discrimination against prefabricated houses produced by, 381; judicial condemnation of "sticker ordinances" directed at, 385.

margarine

present extent of discrimination against, 213, 265; uneconomic effects of legislation against, 215-16, 271; discrimination against, effected by administrative action, 377-78; laws against, likely to interfere with national defense economics, 400; anti-
social consequences of discrimination against, in Austria, 405.

**Marketing Cooperatives**

development and present extent of, 328-31; types of legislation promotive of, 331-32; likely effects of defense movement on, 395.

**Milk**

subjection of, to successive inspection requirements as constituting a marketing barrier, 245-46; price restrictions on sale of, 258-59; price rigidity resulting from fixation of prices for, 261; interstate commerce barriers in, effected by administration, 377, 584.

**MIX Dealers**

financial and bonding requirements demanded for licenses, 245; restrictions on sphere of activity of, 248.

**Miller-Tydings Act**

effect on spread of retail price control, 308; legal effect, 314; regarded as counter to national defense economics, 398.

**Monopoly**

dangers of, in marketing integration, 277-78, 291, 298-300; redefined, 303-04; charge of, against chains refuted, 356-57; conceded to favored groups in Austria, 404-05.

**Monopoly**

defined, 303-04; discussed, 111-12; not recognized in economic philosophy dominating prosecution policy under antitrust acts, 313.

**Motion Picture Exhibitors**

field closed to picture producers and distributors, 289-90; experimental consent decree for protection of, 299.

**Mound City Plumbing Supply Co. v. Dickman**

385.

**Municipal Ordinances**

extent of, having possible barrier effect, 359; history of, relating to economic affairs, 359-60; citation of, effecting different forms of marketing barrier, 360-61; as an expression of valid local economic and social interest, 362, 375; principles employed by courts in determining legality of, 363-75.

**National Defense**

defined, 391-92; relation of economic barriers to, 392-96; relation of legislative barriers to, 397-401; short- and long-term estimate of success of efforts to remove marketing barriers to, 401.

**National Farm Chemicals Council**

attitude of, toward legislation for aid of agricultural commodities, 260.

**National Industrial Recovery Act**

provided stimulus toward control of market access through licensing legislation, 234; continuation of influence of, 235; viewed as demanding legislative protection of small businessman, 313.

**Nebbia v. People of New York**

258, 259, 260, 291, 363.

**Neill v. Gimbel Bros. Dept. Store**

253.

**Nelson v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.**

228.

**New Jersey Good Humor, Inc. v. Board of Comm’rs**

333.

**New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann**

235, 383.

**Non-Residents**

municipal discrimination against, 256-57; criticism of barriers against, 258; license taxation of, as peddlers and itinerant merchants, 320-22; judicial treatment of restrictive legislation against, 370-75; tendency of administrators to disfavor, 379; significance for, of Best & Co. v. Maxwell, 384.

**O’Gorman & Young, Inc. v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co.**

280, 281.

**Optometrists**

protection of, through functional limitations excluding corporate practice of optometry, 252-53.

**Patman Anti-Chain Store Bill**

designed in part to divorce chain-retailing from manufacturing, 290-91; theory of its anti-integration provision, 316; result of Congressional defeat of, 316-17; general tax provisions of, 338-39.

**Peer v. Board of Excise**

284.

**Photographers**

imposition upon, of requirements as to qualifications, character and fitness, 241, 247.

**Plumbers**

imposition upon, of rigid requirements as to qualifications, character and fitness, 359-60; broad definition of the trade as a functional limitation on entry of, into the business, 251.

**Price Fixing**

minimum price fixation for milk, barbers and dry cleaners, 258-60; efficacy of, unproved, 261; as a barrier in milk, 312; for milk, by administrative action, 378.

**Price Leadership**

as frequent in distributive trades as in production industries, 310-11; danger in distributive trades not recognized until N. R. A. reversal in attitude, 313.

**Price Maintenance**

exists in numerous distributive trades, 308; state and federal legislation promotive of, 313-14; movement in favor of, unable to shift marketing balance to independent, 314; operation of, in Austria criticized, 404, 406-09.

**Prices**

effect of anti-chain legislation on, 341-42; evidence as to policies respecting, of large and small retailers, 351; probable effect of defense movement on, 396; incidence of chain store taxes on, 399-400.

**Product Favoritism by Government**

in municipal building codes, 246; of butter over margarine, 265; of American vegetable and animal oils over foreign oils, 265; of lard and corn oil as against cottonseed oil, 266; property tax exemptions effecting, 266; of seafood resulting indirectly from highway construction, 267; of nuts, 267, 269; of fuel oil over competing fuels, 267; of fruit juices over other beverages, 267; of corn
alcohol over petroleum, 268; of soybean oil over linseed oil, 269; indirect discrimination against cotton under Agric. Adjustment Act of 1933; economic appraisal of, 271-72; effected through regulation of mobile merchants, 322; municipal ordinances effecting, 360, 361, 364; judicial treatment of, when attempted by localities, 370; effected by administrative action, 380-81; judicial tolerance of taxation effecting, 388-89.

Quong Wing v. Kirkendall, 248.

Rast v. Van Deman and Lewis, 389.

Real Silk Hosiery Mills, Inc. v. City of Portland, 384.

Restrictions on Entry into the Market
effectuation of, by licensing, in general, 234-36; as respects relations of persons to the state: licensing per se, 237, qualifications, character and fitness requirements, 237-42, other conditions precedent, 242-44, conditions subsequent, 244-48; as respects relation of persons in business to each other: functional limitations of four varying types, 248-54, restrictions as to time, 254-56, restrictions as to place, 256-58, price restrictions, 258-63; general economic evaluation of effects of, 263. For more specialized types of restrictions, see other entries. Robbins v. Shelby Taxing District, 370, 386.

Robinson-Patman Act
effect of, on manufacturer price controls, 308; theory of, 315; disappointments in operation of, for sponsors, 315-16; purpose and provisions of, 339; effect of, in operation, 346-47; criticized as anti-competitive, 390; not in conflict with defense economics, 398. See also Anti-Price Discrimination Laws.

Rockford, City of, v. Hey, 374.


Sales-Below-Cost
legislative prohibitions on, as conditions subsequent to entry into the market, 244; monopoly hazards involved in, when done by integrated concerns, 296-97; differentiation of, from low-cost sale of by-products, 297, or fast-moving lines, 298; as a weapon of large-scale distributors; recent changes in loss-leader selling, 309-10.

South Carolina Highway Department v. Barnwell Brothers, 371.

State Board v. Jackson, 335, 336, 337, 342, 344.

Stewart Dry Goods Co. v. Lewis, 336.

Supermarkets
importance of, in distribution, 306; ordinances directed against, as large-scale distributors, 317; unintended by-product of anti-chain store tax laws, 317; history of and causes explaining development of, 345; legislative opposition to, 345-46, 361; litigation of ordinance directed at, 367; probable extent of judicial tolerance of attacks on, 388.

Swift & Co. v. United States, 278.

Temporary National Economic Committee
testimony of Thurman Arnold before, 207; references to hearings on Interstate Trade Barriers, 207, 218, 221, 246.

Transient Merchants. See Hawkers

Unfair Sales and Practices Acts
extent and effect of, 314-15, 340; economic critique of, 347; criticized as anti-competitive, 390; evaluated in relation to national defense, 399.


Use Tax
origins of: protection of revenue, 223-24, protection of business, 224-25; trade barrier aspects of: economic invalidity of judicial criteria of constitutionality, 226-29, possibilities of multiple tax burden, 229-30, incidence and unequal burden, 231-33; employment of, against mail-order houses, 324; likely extent of judicial tolerance of, 385.

Vending Machines
product favoritism in legislation regulating, 267; rise of, as a significant channel of distribution, 325-26; restrictive legislation affecting use of, as merchandise distributors, 326-27; exemption from taxation of, when selling certain products, 328; power to prohibit use of, where public interest clear, 369-70; requirement that licensees of, be residents or maintain local business address, 372.

Watchmakers
imposition upon, of requirements as to qualifications, character and fitness, 241; subject to license suspension or revocation for gross incompetence or unethical or immoral conduct, 245; agreements by, to replace watches held not to subject to licensing laws regulating insurance, 253.

Williams v. Boiling Green, 366.