

## NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

We are pleased to present our June 2001 issue, which contains our ever-popular summary of Alaska state and federal court cases, *The Year in Review*. This issue also contains an Article addressing the *John v. Baker* case and its implications on comity recognition of tribal court decisions by state and federal courts in Alaska. In addition, this issue contains a response to a piece written in our December issue, suggesting an alternative model for admitting after-acquired evidence in employment termination litigation. We hope you find the material useful, relevant, . . . or at the very least, an enjoyable read.

On behalf of our second-year staff editors, I would like to thank everyone who took time to meet with us during our visit to Anchorage and Juneau this past March. As always, it was a wonderful trip and a great opportunity to learn more about our constituency and the issues that matter to you, our readers. Everyone returned with a greater appreciation of Alaska and a deeper understanding of your lives as Alaska legal practitioners. As law students in North Carolina, we value the trip to Alaska very highly as an amazing learning opportunity, making our experience on the journal much richer (not to mention the envy is generated among our peers!).

*The Alaska Law Review* is one of the most respected and successful law journals at Duke, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who has supported us over the year, especially to those of you who have been kind enough to take time out of your busy schedules to prepare articles and comments for the journal. I hope we continue our successful partnership with the Alaska Bar Association and produce a high quality legal journal that meets your needs for years to come. It has been my pleasure to manage the journal this year, and I hope that we have enlightened and entertained more than we have offended. Thank you all and best wishes.

*N. Nell Scott*