

# A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF PERIODICAL LITERATURE RELATING TO THE LAW OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

## I. *Background Materials for Historic Preservation: Aesthetic Zoning and Related Considerations*

- Agnor, *Beauty Begins a Comeback: Aesthetic Considerations in Zoning*, 11 J. PUB. L. 260 (1962).
- Anderson, *Regulation of Land Use for Aesthetic Purposes—An Appraisal of People v. Stover*, 15 SYRACUSE L. REV. 33 (1963).
- Crolly, *The Floating District: A New Zoning Concept*, NASSAU LAWYER, Oct. 1968, at 8, vol. 16.
- Dukeminier, *Zoning for Aesthetic Objectives: A Reappraisal*, 20 LAW & CONTEMP. PROB. 218 (1955).
- Masotti & Selfon, *Aesthetic Zoning and the Police Power*, 46 J. URBAN L. 773 (1969).
- Newsom, *Zoning for Beauty*, 5 N. ENG. L. REV. 1 (1969).
- Norton, *Police Power, Planning and Aesthetics*, 7 SANTA CLARA LAWYER 171 (1967).
- Rodda, *The Accomplishment of Aesthetic Purposes Under the Police Power*, 27 S. CAL. L. REV. 149 (1954).
- Sayre, *Aesthetics and Property Values: Does Zoning Promote the Public Welfare?* 35 A.B.A.J. 471 (1949).
- Searles, *Aesthetics in the Law*, 41 N.Y. ST. B.J. 210 (1969).
- Steinbach, *Aesthetic Zoning: Property Values and the Judicial Decision Process*, 35 MO. L. REV. 176 (1970).
- Comment, *Aesthetic Considerations in Land Use Planning*, 35 ALBANY L. REV. 126 (1970).
- Comment, *Zoning, Aesthetics, and the First Amendment*, 64 COLUM. L. REV. 81 (1964).
- Comment, *The Place of Aesthetics in Zoning*, 14 DEPAUL L. REV. 104 (1964).
- Note, *Aesthetic Purposes in the Use of the Police Power*, 1960 DUKE L.J. 299.
- Note, *Aesthetics as a Justification for the Exercise of the Police Power or Eminent Domain*, 23 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 730 (1955).
- Comment, *Aesthetics as a Zoning Consideration*, 13 HAST. L.J. 374 (1962).
- Comment, *Aesthetic Zoning: The Creation of a New Standard*, 48 J. URBAN L. 740 (1971).
- Note, *Aesthetic Zoning Under the Police Power*, 35 NEB. L. REV. 143 (1955).
- Note, *Use of the Police Power for the Attainment of Aesthetic Considerations*, 33 N.C.L. REV. 482 (1955).

- Note, *Aesthetics: The Chameleon of Zoning*, 4 TULSA L.J. 49 (1967).
- Note, *Zoning for Aesthetics—A Problem of Definition*, 32 U. CIN. L. REV. 367 (1963).
- Note, *Aesthetic Zoning: A Current Evaluation of the Law*, 18 U. FLA. L. REV. 430 (1965).
- Comment, *Amortization of Nonconforming Uses for Aesthetic Purposes*, 39 U. MO. KAN. CITY L. REV. 179 (1970-71).
- Comment, *Zoning for Aesthetics Substantially Reducing Property Values*, 27 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 303 (1970).
- Note, *Aesthetic Considerations in Land Use Regulation*, 2 WILLIAMETTE L.J. 420 (1963).
- Note, *The Aesthetic as a Factor Considered in Zoning*, 15 WYO. L.J. 77 (1960).
- Note, *Constitutionality of Local Zoning*, 79 YALE L.J. 896 (1970).

## II. Historic Preservation

- Costonis, *The Chicago Plan: Incentive Zoning and the Preservation of Urban Landmarks*, 85 HARV. L. REV. 574 (1972).
- \*Gartside, *Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act*, 1953 J. PLAN. L. 573.
- Kleinhaus, *Architectural Control: Urban Environment and the Law*, 1 COLUM. J. LAW & SOC. PROB. 26 (1965).
- \*Meston, *Houses of Special Architectural or Historic Interest*, 119 JUST. PEACE & LOCAL GOV'T REV. 362 (1955).
- Montague, *New Means for Historic Preservation*, 55 A.B.A.J. 257 (1969).
- Montague, *Planning for Preservation in Virginia*, 51 VA. L. REV. 1214 (1965).
- \*Stirling, *The Preservation of Buildings of Architectural or Historic Interest*, 1953 J. PLAN. L. 419.
- \*Stirling, *The Threat to Buildings of Architectural or Historic Interest*, 117 JUST. PEACE & LOCAL GOV'T REV. 443 (1953).
- Turnbull, *Aesthetic Zoning*, 7 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 230 (1971).
- Wolfe, *Conservation of Historical Buildings and Areas—Legal Techniques*, PROCEEDINGS OF A.B.A. SECT. REAL PROP. PROBATE & TRUST L., AUG. 11-13, 1963, at 18.
- Comment, *Aesthetic Considerations and the Police Power*, 35 B.U.L. REV. 615 (1955).
- Comment, *Legal Methods of Historic Preservation*, 19 BUFF. L. REV. 611 (1970).
- Comment, *Environmental Requirements of Highway and Historic Preservation Legislation*, 20 CATHOLIC U.L. REV. 45 (1970).
- Comment, *Landmark Preservation Laws: Compensation for Temporary Taking*, 35 U. CHI. L. REV. 362 (1968).
- Note, *The Police Power, Eminent Domain, and the Preservation of Historic Property*, 63 COLUM. L. REV. 708 (1963).

Comment, *Aesthetic Zoning: Preservation of Historic Areas*, 29 FORD L. REV. 729 (1961).

\*Commentary, *Ancient Monuments and the Local Authority*, 119 JUST. PEACE & LOCAL GOV'T REV. 362 (1955).

\*Note, *Historic Buildings(1)*, 115 THE LAW J. 52 (1965).

Note, *Land Use Controls in Historic Areas*, 44 NOTRE DAME LAWYER 379 (1969).

Comment, *The Landmark Problem in New York*, 22 N.Y.U. INTRA. L. REV. 99 (1967).

Note, *Police Power May Subject Single Building to Special Regulations as a Landmark*, 18 SYRACUSE L. REV. 676 (1967).

Comment, *Public Historic Preservation in Texas*, 49 TEX. L. REV. 267 (1971).

---

\* British Publications.