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I. INTRODUCTION

In interviews with top women executives, the Wall Street Journal discussed their paths to the top and the importance of mentoring in getting there. The response of Michelle Coleman Mayes was typical. She stressed the importance of having many mentors at different points in one's career, and mentoring others. The question, and positive responses of the executives, indicates the
For at least two decades, social research has confirmed what many have learned through experience: mentoring and networking may help executive women reach the highest positions of leadership within their organizations. This article reviews the extant literature addressing the ways in which mentoring and networking, both formal and informal, may help female executives achieve the highest levels of organizational leadership in business organizations, both national and international. In particular, the article aims to identify those gaps of knowledge that, if bridged, would help companies better understand how to use mentoring and networking to develop women as leaders in multinational and multicultural business environments. The paper further seeks to identify the legal issues suggested by the mentoring and networking literature.

This article reviews and assesses the literature on mentoring and networking from several different academic fields, including law, social psychology, sociology, and economics. The objective of this article is to identify features of successful programs with an eye toward focusing on the issues presented in a cross-cultural context. Part I begins with the relevance of globalization to gender in top leadership. Part II describes the barriers women face on the road to top leadership, and suggests that a better understanding of mentoring and networking holds value as a possible pathway around these barriers. Part III identifies the extant theories of how and toward what end mentoring and networking function, examines the evidence supporting and refuting these theories, and provides examples of various business practices that reflect them. In conclusion, the available literature suggests that although mentoring and networking experiences are not gender neutral, they are important pathways for women to obtain positions of organizational leadership. Further research about mentoring and networking in the context of cross-cultural issues and multinational corporations is still very much needed.


2. See George F. Dreher & Ronald A. Ash, A Comparative Study of Mentoring Among Men and Women in Managerial, Professional, and Technical Positions, 75 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 539, 539 (1990) (study finding that intensive mentoring increased income, promotions, and job satisfaction); David Marshall Hunt & Carol Michael, Mentorship: A Career Training and Development Tool, 8 ACAD. MGMT. REV. 475, 484 (1993) (noting that mentor relationships greatly benefited both the organizations and the individuals involved in the process). See also George F. Dreher & Thomas W. Dougherty, Substitutes for Career Mentoring: Promoting Equal Opportunity Through Career Management and Assessment Systems, 51 J. VOCATIONAL BEHAV. 110, 122 (1997) (noting the key factor in being afforded opportunity is having a mentoring relationship and offering substitutes to enhance opportunity for women and non-white men because they are less likely to form mentoring relationships with senior managers); Kathy E. Kram & Lynn A. Isabella, Mentoring Alternatives: The Role of Peer Relationships in Career Development, 28 ACAD. MGMT. J. 110, 129 (1985) (examining how relationships with peers can offer opportunities for personal and professional growth); Lisa Mainiero, Getting Anointed for Advancement: The Case of Executive Women, 8 ACAD. MGMT. EXECUTIVE 53, 61 (1994); H. Ibarra & L. Smith-Lovin, New Directions in Social Network Research on Gender and Organizational Careers, in CREATING TOMORROW’S ORGANIZATIONS 358, 360–61 (C.L. Cooper & S.E. Jackson eds., 1997).
II. THE IMPACT OF GLOBALIZATION ON GENDER IN TOP LEADERSHIP

The contextual reality of a globalized knowledge economy requires special mention. Globalization almost certainly affects gender equality in the workplace. A substantial body of work relates political and economic globalization and gender participation in the workforce; the relationships between economic development and gender equity in the workforce; and relationships between gender equity and firm performance.

For example, it is reasonable to expect the globalization of international commerce, trade, and communication, all other things being equal, to reduce barriers to women achieving top managerial positions. The mechanism connecting globalization to equality may be that the opportunity cost of deselecting for women in a globalized economy is greater than in more balkanized economic regimes, where firms are protected from competitors that achieve efficiency through the full utilization of the market for human capital. Indeed, there is good reason to conclude that full inclusion of women in top management improves profitability. In a United States study of 353 Fortune 500 companies in eleven industrial sectors over a four year period, Catalyst, Inc. found a robust correlation between gender diversity and profitability.

Companies with the highest representation of women on their top management teams experienced better financial performance than the group of companies with the lowest women’s representation. This finding holds for both financial measures analyzed: Return on Equity (ROI), which is 35.1 percent higher, and Total Return to Shareholders (TRS), which is 34.0 percent higher. In four out of the five industries analyzed, the group of companies with the highest women’s representation on their top management teams experienced a higher TRS than the group of companies with the lowest women’s representation.

In addition, the competitive behavior of multinational firms that utilize women in managerial positions may help break down local barriers based on traditional notions of women’s roles by hiring local women and also by serving as a role model that stimulates change in the role of women.
At the same time, globalization may affect women in top management positions differently than it affects men or than it affects women in lower management or non managerial positions. For example, because of traditional gender roles, the demands of doing business somewhere in the world at all times along with the need to be available for both short term and long term deployment abroad can affect women's allocation of personal and career interests. Long term deployment far from home often poses difficulties for two-career couples that must find suitable opportunities for trailing members of the pair, who are more commonly women.9

The relationships among gender, economic development, and the reduction of global poverty also require special mention as contextual realities. Economists and demographers observe a positive correlation between a nation's economic development and women's participation in the paid work force. It follows that with economic development comes the need for better understanding of how women participate in the workforce, not only generally, but also in positions of top leadership. In her economic history of women and work in the United States, Claudia Goldin concluded that "economic progress over the long run has generated a move to economic equality."10 She argues that over the course of American history, one finds a relationship between women in the paid work force and economic development.11 The pattern is U-shaped, with highest and lowest levels of development associated with high levels of participation. In this model, Goldin identifies the United States presently in the rising portion of the U.12 Furthermore, she attributes the rising slope of the U to the entry of large numbers of married women into the workplace.13

Extensive work at the World Bank on the relationship between economic development and gender equality14 found greater gender equality critical to a
nation’s economic growth and to the reduction of poverty because inequality lowers the productive allocation of labor and contributes to a lower quality of life for both men and women. Other links between gender inequality and poverty include several critical ideas. First, females are likely to be more productive than—or, at least as productive—as males if they have access to the inputs of human capital formation that are necessary to form productive workers. Second, females are more likely than males to devote resources to educating their children and improving human capital rather than to divert them to other uses. Third, females engaged in paid work tend to produce fewer offspring than females not so engaged, and this lowered fertility rate positively affects the success and environmental sustainability of a nation’s and region’s economy, at least up to a point. Other scholars find that, despite laws, customs, and social norms that impede or prevent the operation of free labor markets, economic development brings an increase in women’s educational opportunities in most societies. The linking mechanism is that the opportunity cost to educated women of bearing and rearing children increases as women move into the workforce, and therefore fertility rates decline and economic well-being increases.

Although little research links the effect of globalization on access to top levels of organizational leadership, a pattern emerges in the research that does exist. Women achieve top levels of organizational leadership in many parts of the world, but the phenomenon of a career path for women—one in which significant numbers of women systematically acquire the social and cultural capital and experience to lead a substantial economic organization—is most likely a feature of the developed world. Undoubtedly, this generalization holds for males as well, even given that men’s opportunities tend to be greater than women’s across all cultures and economies. Globalization probably benefits both women and men in developing countries who seek upward mobility as managers. Yet, if Goldin’s U-shaped curve holds, the benefit of globalization for women in developing countries is more likely to be captured by those who have the social capital, perhaps by virtue of birth into an educated or elite family. This social capital may provide these women with skills and qualities that make them attractive to global organizations as managers, and thereby enable them to

and the voice of women and men are reduced, the Bank’s poverty reduction agenda will not be achieved.

16. Id. at 1 ("While women and girls bear the largest and most direct costs of these inequalities, the costs cut broadly across society, ultimately hindering development and poverty reduction.
17. Id. at 7.
18. Id. at 6–7.
19. Id. at 12.
20. Mammen & Paxson, supra note 10, at 144.
participate in the world economy in ways in which women in their countries were previously excluded.

III. FINDING A PATH: MENTORING WOMEN AROUND THE BARRIERS

A. What We Know About Women Who Make It to the Top

Sociological research on gender and organizational leadership has delved into the mechanisms by which women achieve power and leadership at elite levels, both nationally and internationally. Researchers have examined the relationship between career success and decisions about family responsibilities and work/life balance in the United States and internationally, the role of networks, social capital, and mentors, the role of cultural capital, class, and socioeconomic status, the role of cultural and social context in promoting or

22. A rich body of literature exists on this subject. See, e.g., COMPETITIVE FRONTIERS: WOMEN MANAGERS IN A GLOBAL ECONOMY (Nancy J. Adler & Dafna N. Izraeli eds., 1994) (discussing the role that intense competition plays in affording more opportunities for women managers working across borders in transnational firms and the cultural myths that stand as barriers to these women) [hereinafter COMPETITIVE FRONTIERS]; GENDERING ELITES: ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL LEADERSHIP IN 27 INDUSTRIALISED SOCIETIES (Mino Vianello & Gwen Moore eds., 2000) (discussing ways in which women can reach high positions in public life, analyzing the different leadership styles of men and women, and looking at gender inequality among the elite) [hereinafter GENDERING ELITES]; MARGARET LINEHAN, SENIOR FEMALE INTERNATIONAL MANAGERS: WHY SO FEW? (2000) (studying 50 European female managers with cross border careers and finding that the problems facing domestic managers (e.g. lack of skill) are also faced by international managers); MINO VIANELLO ET AL., WOMEN AND MEN IN POLITICAL AND BUSINESS ELITES (Mino Vianello & Gwen Moore eds., 2004) (discussing various barriers women face in attaining powerful positions in politics and business, the different pathways women take in achieving success, the role family plays in the lives of powerful women, and the impact of the 'politics' of time on women in the workforce) [hereinafter WOMEN AND MEN IN POLITICAL AND BUSINESS ELITES].

23. See e.g., Mary Blair-Loy & Amy S. Wharton, Mothers in Finance: Surviving and Thriving, 596 ANNALS AM. ACAD. POL. & SOC. SCI. 151 (2004) (discussing the difficulties women managers face in striking a work-family balance and how family life often acts as a barrier to advancement for women); George F. Dreher, Breaking the Glass Ceiling: The Effects of Sex Ratios and Work-Life Programs on Female Leadership at the Top, 56 HUM. REL. 541 (2003) (showing that companies can increase the likelihood of women breaking into top management positions by implementing policies that provide flexibility for non-work related matters and policies designed to address the recruitment and retention of low-level and mid-level women managers).

24. See e.g., Alison Woodward & Dawn Lyon, Gendered Time and Women’s Access to Power, in GENDERING ELITES, supra note 22, at 91; Gwen Moore, Mommies and Daddies on the Fast Track in Other Wealthy Nations, 596 ANNALS AM. ACAD. POL. & SOC. SCI. 208 (2004) (stating that in countries outside the United States, responsibility for family life falls more on women managers than men in the workforce and that family life impedes on the careers of women more than on the careers of comparable men).

25. See infra notes 86-95.

26. See, e.g., Joanna Liddle & Elizabeth Michielsens, Gender, Class and Public Power, in GENDERING ELITES, supra note 22, at 21 (discussing the role of class background when comparing male and female managers and finding that women managers are much more likely to come from a higher class background than their male counterparts); Gwen Moore, Women in Elite Positions: Insiders or Outsiders?, 3 SOC. F. 566 (1988) (stating that fewer women become high-ranking managers, those women that are managers are more likely to have a higher background class than male managers, and that male managers have more highly developed and powerful social networks than female managers).
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disrupting gender inequality and discrimination; the role of values in achieving leadership; and public and corporate policies affecting the rise of women in business and economic leadership. In addition, major research undertakings and compilations of research by Vianello and Moore used sociological theories of elite groups, leadership, and gender to analyze how women and men acquire and exercise economic and political power in twenty-seven industrialized countries.

In previous discussion of the above empirical works, the authors identified four tentative conclusions generally supported by that research:

1. women elites in both politics and business are more likely to come from a more privileged class background, have more highly educated relatives, and have mothers with higher social and economic status than men in comparable positions;

2. the gender disadvantages that women elites face—the cultural, social, familial, and organizational obstacles—manifest themselves primarily in the process of gaining access to an elite position, that is, the path to top leadership, rather than in performing the leadership position;

3. elite men and elite women differ in the life decisions they have made to manage both personal and career work; and

4. although women executives tend to have leadership styles that are more democratic, more inclined toward sharing power and communicating in non-
competitive ways, those in higher levels of organizational authority exhibit the more "competitive, directive and risk leadership" associated with males.32

Thus it appears that both globally and nationally, women face clear differences from men in their path33 to and in their exercise of, power34 and leadership35 to get to the top.

B. Obstacles and Challenges for Rising Women

The barriers women face in both the United States and the global corporate economy are legion.36 They emanate both from within the organization and from social roles outside the organization, and related to family. Scholarly literature from several disciplines has identified specific barriers, or hurdles, for women desiring access to the highest level of leadership in organizations, and has suggested other possible factors in their achieving such leadership in the national and international arenas.37

1. Endogenous Barriers

Some barriers for women to top management appear to be endogenous to the business workplace. The term "glass ceiling," used to describe the host of invisible but very real barriers that limit women's rise to the top executive ranks of business organizations, is attributed to two Wall Street Journal reporters in 1986.38 It began to appear more commonly in the academic literature shortly preceding 39 and following40 the work of the United States Federal Glass Ceiling

---


33. See, e.g., Joy A. Schneer & Frieda Reitman, The Impact of Gender as Managerial Careers Unfold, 47 J. VOCATIONAL BEHAV. 290, 308 (1995) (examining the career paths of male and female MBAs from longitudinal data); Linda K. Stroh, Jeanne M. Brett, & Anne H. Reilly, All the Right Stuff: A Comparison of Female and Male Managers' Career Progression 77 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 251, 251 (1992) (finding lower geographical mobility and pay progression rates for women managers when compared with similarly situated male managers).

34. See Belle Rose Ragins & Eric Sundstrom, Gender and Power in Organizations: A Longitudinal Perspective, 105 PSYCHOL. BULL. 51, 73 (1989) ("Successful female executives appeared to rely on different bases of power at different points in their careers. Early in their careers, they tended to rely on expert power, whereas later, they reported paying more attention to interpersonal skills and influence.").


36. See Ragins & Sundstrom, supra note 34, at 53 ("The path to power for women may more accurately be described as an obstacle course.").

37. See, e.g., Karen S. Lyness & Donna E. Thompson, Climbing the Corporate Ladder: Do Female and Male Executives Follow the Same Route?, 85 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 86 (2000) (barriers to success for women include not fitting in culturally, less effective mentor relationships, informal social network exclusion, low geographic mobility, fewer opportunities in roles of authority, and an increased pressure to maintain successful job-performance and inter-personal relationships) [hereinafter Climbing the Corporate Ladder].


Commission in the early 1990s. The concept has had staying power as a metaphorical construct around which organizational behavior scholars have created a robust body of literature.

While explicit rules excluding women from executive roles in corporate America and western Europe have fallen through the influence of law and social pressure, the ceiling in much of the developing world, as well as in parts of the developed world, is better described as a glass darkly or "blatantly opaque." In the United States and much of the developed world, the glass ceiling is attributable less to structural barriers and more to organizational and social barriers. Explicit sexual discrimination continues to play a role in reducing women's access to high levels of management, especially for women of color. The more usual forms of discrimination, however, are the subtle but clear cultural biases and gender stereotypes in corporate decision-making, behavior,
and job assignment.\textsuperscript{47} Men and women tend to use different styles of leadership and power, and these differences reinforce the existing stereotypes.\textsuperscript{48} For example, the nature of managerial competition in large organizations, often described as a "tournament" system, favors more traditionally male styles of leadership and perceives and rewards women who engage in that style differently than it perceives and rewards men.\textsuperscript{49}

2. \textit{Exogenous Barriers}

A substantial body of literature indicates that many of the barriers women face on the way to top leadership stem from factors beyond the structures and constraints of their organizations.\textsuperscript{50} Rather, they stem from social, political, and cultural factors that mediate the gender role. These factors are not easily affected by the firm, but the firm may accommodate or adjust to these issues in order to have an efficient and productive workforce. In particular, women may have diminished access to the experiences that build social capital,\textsuperscript{51} which in many organizations includes access to appropriate education.\textsuperscript{52} Many jobs and career paths are segmented into those which are feminine and those which are masculine.\textsuperscript{53} Women may also face cultural issues in foreign assignments that

\textsuperscript{47} See O.C. Brenner, Joseph Tomkiewicz, \& Virginia Ellen Schein, \textit{The Relationship Between Sex Role Stereotypes and Requisite Management Characteristics Revisited}, 32 \textit{ACAD. MGMT. J.} 662, 668 (1989) (attitudes of male managers about women contribute to the disproportionate level of women in managerial positions); Ragins \& Sundstrom, \textit{supra} note 34, at 63 (discussing the role of gender stereotypes as a barrier to women's success in the workplace).


\textsuperscript{52} \textit{Id.} at 332.

\textsuperscript{53} See Thomas A. DiPrete \& Whitman T. Soule, \textit{Gender and Promotion in Segmented Job Ladder Systems}, 53 \textit{AM. SOC. REV.} 26, 39 (1988) (sex segregation is a factor that leads to lower present advancement, which in turn can lead to lower overall career success); Patricia J. Oehlott, Marian N. Ruderman, \& Cynthia D. McCauley, \textit{Gender Differences in Managers' Developmental Job Experiences}, 37 \textit{ACAD. MGMT. J.} 46, 62 (1994) (limited access to higher levels of responsibility restricts the ability of women to attain promotions).
make it more difficult to manage the projects effectively. Most particularly, women face the challenge of resolving the inevitable conflicts between traditional female and family roles and the role of managerial leadership.

One aspect of these role conflicts is the problem of balancing time between the traditional familial role and the managerial role: the work-life balance. Both male and female senior managers are subject to this conflict, but because women traditionally bear the heaviest load of family work in most cultures, men face fewer and different role incongruities and conflicts than women. Women must resolve these conflicts in several contexts: preserving the degrees of career and geographic mobility that the path to top leadership may require; sorting priorities at different points in time between the careers in a dual-career family unit; dealing with the consequences of career interruptions that are more common among female managers early in their careers than among male managers; and managing childbirth and child-rearing, neither of which is a traditional male role.

C. The Possible Roles of Mentoring and Networking

1. Mentoring

Having an effective mentor is one pathway around barriers women face along the path to top leadership, and the lack of mentoring may contribute to the disproportionate under-representation of women in top positions in
business. A substantial body of research supports the notion that mentoring contributes greatly to career outcomes. Kram’s ground-breaking work in the field more than twenty years ago explicated the benefits of mentoring to organizations, to mentors, and to mentees. Subsequent studies confirm this positive relationship. The benefits of a good mentor to a mentee are well-known, including higher income, greater job satisfaction, and promotions. Furthermore, a mentor can buffer an individual from overt and covert forms of discrimination, lend legitimacy to a person or position, provide guidance and training in the political operation of the organization, and provide inside information on job-related functions. A mentor may compensate for exclusion from organizational networks where such job-related information is usually found. Mentors can also provide reflected power by signaling that an individual has a powerful sponsor. Mentors can perhaps even increase self-confidence and facilitate achievement of career goals.

61. See George F. Dreher & Ronald A. Ash, A Comparative Study of Mentoring Among Men and Women in Managerial, Professional, and Technical Positions, 75 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 539, 539 (1990) (study finding that intensive mentoring increased income, promotions, and job satisfaction); David Marshall Hunt & Carol Michael, Mentorship: A Career Training and Development Tool, 8 ACAD. MGMT. REV. 475, 484 (1983) (noting that mentor relationships greatly benefited both the organizations and the individuals involved in the process). See also George F. Dreher & Thomas W. Dougherty, Substitutes for Career Mentoring: Promoting Equal Opportunity Through Career Management and Assessment Systems, 51 J. VOCATIONAL BEHAV. 110, 122 (1997) (noting a key factor in being afforded opportunity is having a mentoring relationship and offering substitutes to enhance opportunity for women and non-white men because they are less likely to form mentoring relationships with senior managers); Kathy E. Kram & Lynn A. Isabella, Mentoring Alternatives: The Role of Peer Relationships in Career Development, 28 ACAD. MGMT. J. 110, 129 (1985) (examining how relationships with peers can offer opportunities for personal and professional growth).


63. See, e.g., William Whitely, Thomas W. Dougherty, & George F. Dreher, Relationship of Career Mentoring and Socioeconomic Origin to Managers’ and Professionals’ Early Career Progress, 34 ACAD. MGMT. J. 331, 346 (1991) (finding that mentorship training led to higher levels of income and higher promotion rates, affecting those from high socioeconomic backgrounds the most).

64. See S. Gayle Baugh & T.A. Scandura, The Effects of Multiple Mentors on Protégé Attitudes Toward the Work Setting, 14 J. SOC. BEHAV. & PERSONALITY 503, 503 (1999) (indicating that mentees involved in one or more mentoring relationships experience increased job satisfaction and organizational commitment, while the probability of role conflict increases when involved in more than one mentoring relationship); Dreher & Ash, supra note 61, at 539 (study finding that intensive mentoring increased income, promotions, and job satisfaction); B.R. Ragins, J.L. Cotton, & J.S. Miller, Marginal Mentoring: The Effects of Type of Mentor, Quality of Relationship, and Program Design on Work and Career Attitudes, 43 ACAD. MGMT. J. 1177, 1190 (2000) (finding that having a satisfying mentor relationship increased career opportunity and job satisfaction as compared with individuals without a mentor relationship); William T. Whitely & Pol Coetsier, The Relationship of Career Mentoring to Early Career Outcomes, 14 ORG. STUD. 419, 433 (1993) (noting a relationship between career mentoring and promotion rate and job satisfaction).


66. Ragins & Sundstrom, supra note 34, at 64.
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Researchers have worked with several definitions of mentoring. The definitions may emphasize the conduct, content, and function of the relationship or they may emphasize its outcome. Usually, they include the idea that two individuals are in a relationship at different levels of power, one more senior than the other in power, influence, position, experience, or maturity. The senior member of the relationship attempts to advise the junior member about the environment, issues, and relationships he or she encounters or expects to encounter in the job or in the career. In short, mentoring is a developmental relationship that may have a career-oriented function and a psychosocial function. The former function may be characterized as helping the mentee "learn the ropes" in order to enhance the mentee's effective functioning in the organization. The latter may be characterized as providing "counseling, friendship, acceptance and confirmation" and other forms of psychosocial support, which enhances the mentee's "sense of competence, identity and work role effectiveness." Thus, although some studies have found the link between mentoring and outcomes somewhat less robust for women than for men, mentoring nonetheless is a promising source of guidance as women seek pathways around the barriers to their advancement and achievement.

67. See, e.g., Donald D. Bowen, The Role of Identification in Mentoring Female Protegées, 11 GROUP & ORG. STUD. 61, 62–63 (1986) (noting that since many different definitions of mentors exist there is confusion about the functional role of the mentor); Kathy E. Kram, Phases of the Mentor Relationship, 26 ACAD. MGMT. J. 608, 621 (1983) (discussing how the phases of a mentoring relationship change over time, often in order to meet the needs of the individual).

68. See, e.g., Kram, Phases of the Mentor Relationship, supra note 67, at 622 (examining the conduct and function of a mentoring relationship); Bowen, supra note 67, at 72 (focusing on the conduct and content of a mentoring relationship).

69. KRAM, MENTORING AT WORK, supra note 62, at 15–19.

70. Id.

71. See generally Whitely et al., supra note 63, at 333–34, 341–46 (finding that the career enhancing effects of mentoring were more robust for mentees from higher socioeconomic classes than from lower socioeconomic classes).


73. Id.

74. Id. at 98.

75. See Ronald J. Burke & Carol A. McKeen, Benefits of Mentoring Relationships Among Managerial and Professional Women: A Cautionary Tale, 51 J. VOCATIONAL BEHAV. 43, 43 (1997) (finding that among 280 female business graduates of the same university, 70% of whom describe a mentor relationship, with two-thirds of the mentors male, the existence of a mentor was only modestly related to work outcomes and less so to measures of personal wellbeing and satisfaction).

76. See, e.g., Tammy D. Allen et al., Career Benefits Associated With Mentoring for Protégés: A Meta-Analysis, 89 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 127, 130–32 (2004). Tammy Allen and her colleagues found that mentored individuals were more satisfied with their careers, more committed to their careers, and more convinced they would advance in their careers. This was true for both career and psychosocial mentoring when taken individually. There was only mixed support for the hypotheses that objective career outcomes would have a stronger relationship with career mentoring and that subjective career outcomes would have a stronger relationship with psychosocial mentoring. It may be that having a mentor matters more for career success than the degree of mentoring provided. On the whole, the study found that mentoring is more strongly related to subjective indicators of career success than to objective indicators. Furthermore, it was found that mentored individuals reported higher levels of career motivation than non-mentored individuals. However, mentored individuals did not report a higher level of self-efficacy. But self-efficacy was positively related to salary, career...
Networking is another way of obtaining guidance around barriers to top leadership. In fact, networking is widely regarded as essential to positive career outcomes. Its definition is somewhat more fluid, but networking is conceptually distinct from mentoring. Networking may be thought of as a constellation of developmental relationships that functions in various ways and contributes to positive career outcomes. It constitutes a part of the informal organizational system that is crucial for both men and women to advance through the organizational hierarchy.

I.J. Hetty van Emmerik found that after one controls for having a mentor, the size and diversity of one's developmental network is positively related to career success. Moreover, the size and diversity of the network appears to be more strongly correlated with the career satisfaction of women than of men. This is evocative of earlier studies suggesting that women managers, independent of mentoring, benefit more than do men from general encouragement from superiors, probably because such encouragement leads to training that leads to advancement. It is also consistent with Adler and Izraeli's findings that worldwide, social networks contribute to the social capital necessary for advancement to top management and moreover, that women's success, and performance effectiveness. It was also found that career motivation mediated the relationship between career mentoring and mentee performance. Id. See also Kathryn Tyler, Mentoring Programs Link Employees and Experienced Execs, 43 HR MAG. 98, 98–100 (1998) (according to Tyler, mentoring enhances management skills, encourages diversity, increases productivity, is good for team building and makes information available to lower level employees).


78. See I.J. Hetty van Emmerik, The More You Can Get the Better: Mentoring Constellations and Intrinsic Career Success, 9 CAREER DEV. INT'L 578, 589 (2004) (finding that the size of a developmental network of diverse relationships was associated with successful careers).


80. Van Emmerik, supra note 78, at 588.

81. Id.

82. See Phyllis Tharenou, Shane Latimer & Denise Conroy, How Do You Make It to the Top? An Examination of Influences on Women’s and Men’s Managerial Advancement, 37 ACAD. MGMT. J. 899, 923 (1994) (noting the significance of the finding that women receive less career encouragement, since career encouragement is shown to increase development and training, which in turn, leads to managerial advancement).

83. Id. at 924.

lack of social networks prevents them from rising to the top to a much greater extent than it does men.\textsuperscript{85}

IV. MENTORING AND NETWORKING: THEORIES AND EVIDENCE

There is a consensus that mentoring and networking matter in the quest for top leadership. In order to make optimal use of mentoring and networking, however, companies and individuals need to know why and how they matter. This section explicates the theoretical underpinnings of the mentoring and networking literature; explains the efficacy, methodologies, and processes of mentoring and networking; identifies how networking and mentoring schemes differentially assist men and women; and explores why differences occur where they occur. As one would hope and expect, the literature presents a healthy debate about alternative theories and counter-theories in each of these areas. This Part concludes with examples of mentoring and networking practices in business.

A. The Efficacy of Mentoring and Networking: Theories

The literature advances several theories to explain and predict the efficacy of mentoring and networking. These theories include: (1) social and cultural capital theory; (2) socioeconomic class theory; (3) personality theory; (4) sociological theories of power; and (5) economic theory of human capital.

1. Social and Cultural Capital Theory

Much of the mentoring and networking literature refers to the sociological theories of enhanced social and cultural capital as the power basis for mentoring and networking.\textsuperscript{86} Social capital "refers to the sum of the actual and potential resources embedded within, available through, and derived from the network of social relationships."\textsuperscript{87} Social capital enhances access to mentors and networks, which connects individuals through trust, understanding, and mutual values, provides conduits for information, and makes it easier to attain both career and personal goals.\textsuperscript{88} Social capital is also gained through networking,\textsuperscript{89} by occupying one or more positions in a social network that provide access to developmental relationships, which may include mentors.


\textsuperscript{86} See Daniel J. Brass, \textit{Men's and Women's Networks: A Study of Interaction Patterns and Influence in an Organization}, 28 ACAD. MGMT. J. 327, 339–340 (1985) (finding that although women are more adept at social networking within women, they are at a disadvantage in forming social networks in male-dominated fields).

\textsuperscript{87} Van Emmerik, supra note 78, at 580.


Social network research has produced significant insights. Both the diversity and the strength of the network relationships affect one’s efficacy in creating social capital. Relationship strength refers to “the level of emotional affect, reciprocity, and frequency of communication.” Strong ties involve high emotional investment. Conversely, weak ties tend to lack emotional investment. Both mentors and networks can have varying degrees of emotional investment. Those that are relatively strong—that involve long-term, stable, and trusting relationships—provide psychosocial support that bolsters confidence and provide dependable sources of support when it is needed.

Through these mechanisms, mentoring and networking help build the social capital associated with top managerial leadership. In addition, the study of gender differences in networks holds promise for understanding what kinds of networks are most beneficial for women and minorities.

Cultural capital, as a sociological paradigm, is related to but conceptually different from social capital. It includes such elements as natural aptitude and the learned habits of an individual; the use of cultural goods such as art, books, reference tools, and the Internet; and institutional certification of knowledge that can be converted into economic capital through labor markets. An understanding of the significance of cultural capital in accessing positions of power is attributed to the French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu. Building on his...
THE SIGNIFICANCE OF MENTORING AND NETWORKING

work, others have explored the extent to which cultural capital is itself gendered in the sense that in a given culture access to acquiring salient cultural capital is commonly and systematically denied to women or other groups. For example, women may be excluded from certain forms of education and public service that form the basis of shared cultural capital in organizations. If so, to what extent do mentoring and social networking represent a path around such cultural barriers?

2. Socioeconomic Class Theory

Certain aspects of cultural capital are related to socioeconomic class status. Work by Kanter, Pfeffer, and Stinchcombe in the 1960s and 1970s extended the theoretical underpinnings of socioeconomic class theory to the study of management. In their 1992 study of career-oriented mentoring of young managers, Whitely, Dougherty, and Ash confirmed that although the effects were not strong, young managers from higher socioeconomic family origins tended to receive more career-oriented mentoring. The causative link, presumably, is that higher level managers who themselves tend to come from higher socioeconomic status perceive more similarities with mentees who also come from higher socioeconomic levels. This similarity factor influences the selection of mentees and the nature and depth of the mentoring relationship. Higher level managers also tend to engage more frequently in networking behaviors.

3. Personality Theory

Industrial and organizational psychologists have focused on the role of personality in the efficacy of mentoring and networking. Though they are
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variously stated by different strands of personality research, certain relatively
stable qualities of personality have a greater predictive value in relating
personality to organizational phenomena and experiences, including
advancement to top leadership.107 Turban and Dougherty looked at three of
these qualities: (1) locus of control, or the extent to which an individual
perceives that outcomes are controlled by her own actions or by external forces
over which she has no control; (2) self-monitoring, or the extent to which an
individual either senses social cues and adapts behavior to the situation at hand
or does not sense social cues and adjusts behavior accordingly; and (3)
emotional stability, or the extent to which an individual evaluates herself
favorably across situations, reflecting self-esteem on the high end and negativity
on the low end. 108 Their work found that “individuals with internal loci of
control and high self-monitoring and emotional stability” 109 were more likely to
seek and find mentoring relationships. This mentoring was “related to both
career attainment and perceived career success, and career attainment also
influenced perceived career success.”110 Furthermore, a propensity to engage in
networking behavior can be correlated with high self-esteem and extraversion.111 Other researchers have successfully applied personality theory
to the study of mentors112 and effective mentoring, producing a basis for
predicting which mentors can effectively provide career-oriented and
psychosocial mentoring.113

4. Sociological Theories of Power

Sociologists define power in various ways. At one level of analysis, power
is the ability, or the perceived ability, to influence another or to change another’s
behavior. This is sometimes described as a "dyadic and reciprocal process"114
between the one in possession of power and the other. At an organizational
level of analysis, power may be viewed as a function, or property, of the
organization’s structure and its control over persons in the organization.115 At
the level of analysis between groups, power may be either symmetrical (or
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equal) or asymmetrical, in which event one group in the relevant society (which may be the organization) dominates another group and has more resources with which to exercise power.\textsuperscript{116} Most saliently, Ragins uses the latter two sociological perspectives to study mentoring in organizations and in particular, to link mentoring research with the study of intra-group power relations in the context of mentoring.\textsuperscript{117} Fagenson, citing Kanter, posits that power is primarily a function of organizational position and that there are only two types of positions: advantageous and disadvantageous.\textsuperscript{118} The power-dominant group invariably occupies the advantageous position. This line of work holds the promise of yielding useful insights about the use of mentoring by minorities\textsuperscript{119} such as women and racial minorities, to attain advantageous positions, power, and top leadership in the organization.\textsuperscript{120}

5. Economic Theory of Human Capital

From the viewpoint of economic theory, women’s labor must be used productively in order to fully utilize human capital for the betterment of human welfare.\textsuperscript{121} This is an accepted truth to the Western mentality, but it is also a reality throughout the world, where women are in fact employed productively—whether in the domestic economy or the measured economy. The full realization of the human potential requires, however, not only that human capital be deployed productively, but also that it be deployed optimally. In the multinational context, it follows that the talent to manage sophisticated organizations in a global knowledge economy is an expensive resource, and it is critical that the cost of excluding or hindering such talent through, for example, prejudice, may be expensive to the organization and to the society.\textsuperscript{122} Indeed, the economic and legal underpinnings of the modern corporation depend upon the organization’s effective use of human resources, including managerial and directorial talent, for the benefit of shareholders and others.\textsuperscript{123}
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Scholars interested in the cross-cultural prevalence and historical tenacity of gender inequity have explored the complex relationship between women’s economic positions inside and outside the family. They argue that although women may choose to maximize their individual economic opportunities outside the family by working for wages, as professionals or managers, or as entrepreneurs, they always do so within the context of what one scholar has called the “family claim.” That is, women’s role as family members shapes social, cultural, and legal assumptions about their appropriate functions in the labor force. This phenomenon seems to hold across cultures and historically. Within the family, women’s economic contributions historically have been to provide unpaid household labor or to act as flexible wage workers able to step into the workplace when family necessity dictates. Further, as sociologist Joan Acker has argued, all forms of social organization to some extent share common gender structures and assumptions. This is the case because social forms tend toward coherence, but the end result creates differences in structure and experience for women and men both in families and in other social, economic, and cultural forms, such as business organizations. In either case, women’s economic relationship to the family favors the economic well-being of the family rather than that of women as individuals.

This relationship between women and the family provides an argument for advancing women’s status both outside and inside the family itself. In path-breaking work, economist Gary Becker has applied a human capital model of human capital investments to demonstrate the extent to which the family or household unit is a value-maximizing economic unit. The value of deploying talent optimally accrues not only to the larger society, he posits, but also to the basic unit of society: the family. It follows that the family/household unit will deploy human resources more efficiently in labor markets unfettered by gender barriers. That is, the economic society must embrace gender equality in the workplace if families are to allocate time and resources to obtain the greatest value for the family. It is disappointing that Becker’s theoretical work has not
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been applied specifically to women at the highest-earning ranks of organizations, much less to mentoring and networking behaviors. It may be that such questions are too finely granulated for economic analysis, but Becker’s hypothesis provides a starting point for understanding the vortex of forces that influence women’s ability to achieve top leadership. One would hope to see it explored and understood in the expanded global context. A rich body of knowledge awaits that application.

B. Functions of Mentoring

The mentoring research literature tends to focus either on the functions of the mentor or on the outcomes of mentoring. It is further segmented into the literature that focuses on the role of the mentor or the role of the mentee. This section discusses what is known about the function of mentoring from the standpoint of both parties and how this knowledge is applied in practice.

1. Roles of Mentors

Beginning with Kram’s work in 1985, scholars have observed that the efficacy of mentoring is the result of interactions between the mentor and the mentee around (1) career enhancement/development; (2) psychosocial support; and (3) role-modeling. The career enhancement/development mode of mentoring involves the mentor’s providing training and information about the organization or industry and navigating a career through it. Kram identified five career-oriented roles of mentors: sponsorship, coaching, protection, exposure to higher power in the organization, and challenging work assignments. Although the classical mentoring relationship involves both career development and psychosocial support, with attendant emotional involvement and intensity of interpersonal relationship, the career development function can occur successfully in the absence of such bonds. This has important consequences for formal mentoring programs that most likely will involve this mode of mentoring because different mentors and mentoring programs involve different styles of mentoring.

The psychosocial support mode of mentoring involves the mentor in counseling, befriending, encouraging, and building the self-confidence of the mentee. Tharenou and her colleagues posit that encouragement, self-esteem, and confidence increase a mentee’s acceptance of training and development opportunities, and that training and development are, in turn, positively linked.
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to women’s advancement as managers in organizations, thereby establishing the link between psychosocial mentoring and career advancement.\(^{138}\)

The role-modeling mode has been separated out most recently as a distinct mode of mentoring,\(^{139}\) although it is clearly related to the first two modes and may be a part of both information-imparting and psychosocial support. Because the mentor relationship is dyadic in nature, these functions can be observed and studied from the point of view of either the mentor\(^{140}\) or the mentee, or both.

Christopher Orpen conducted a longitudinal study on the effect of a mentor on newcomers to the workplace.\(^{141}\) He found that vocational mentoring, but not personal mentoring, during the first months of employment was associated with greater career success (promotions and salary) in the same organization over the next four years.\(^{142}\)

Mentoring is specifically beneficial for women and minorities because it chips away at the glass ceiling and provides protégés with career functions.\(^{143}\) It also helps women overcome an informational barrier that hinders their advancement in the business world. Results in one study conducted in Scotland showed 57% of women said their fear of moving into—or up in—the business world is related to lack of knowledge.\(^{144}\) Mentoring can help overcome that fear by providing business and confidence skills training as well as coaching.\(^{145}\)

2. Ideal Mentors

Scholars have also investigated the characteristics of an ideal mentor along with how protégés can make the most of the relationship.\(^{146}\) Listening and communication skills, patience, knowledge of one’s company and industry, and the ability to understand others are said to be ideal characteristics of a mentor.\(^{147}\) Also important are honesty, possessing a genuine interest in mentoring, being people-oriented, and having a structured vision.\(^{148}\) Establishing an open communication system was most often stated to be one way of making the
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relationship most effective. Also mentioned were setting standards and goals, establishing trust, caring for each other, allowing mistakes, taking part in training programs, participating willingly, and being flexible.

3. Formal versus Informal Mentoring

Georgia T. Chao, Pat M. Walz, and Philip D. Gardner conducted a study comparing organizational socialization, job satisfaction, and salary among informally mentored individuals, formally mentored individuals, and non-mentored individuals. Their results showed that protégés in informal relationships reported slightly more career-related support from their mentors than protégés in formal relationships, but no differences in psychosocial support. Moreover, psychosocial support can be provided by many people in an organization. Both informal and formal protégés showed higher levels of job outcomes than non-mentored individuals. Additionally, there was a positive relationship between mentorship functions, especially the career function, and job outcomes for mentored protégés.

Stacy D. Blake-Beard has also studied formal mentoring programs and the implications for women participating in them. She found formal mentoring relationships are generally much shorter than informal relationships and are arranged by the organization. Formal mentors may be more motivated to perform the task assigned to them by the organization than to be a developmental supporter of their protégé. Formal mentors are also more visible and are thus less able to engage in career development behavior that may be seen as favoritism.

Belle Rose Ragins, John L. Cotton, and Janice S. Miller examined the relationship between job and career attitudes and the presence of a mentor, the mentor’s type (formal vs. informal), the quality of the relationship, and the design of a formal program. The study found a positive relation between satisfaction with a mentoring relationship and career and job attitudes. Non-mentored individuals reported less job satisfaction than protégés in highly satisfying informal mentoring relationships, but protégés in less satisfying informal relationships did not report more job satisfaction than non-mentored individuals.
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individuals. Formally mentored individuals in highly satisfying relationships reported somewhat more positive attitudes than non-mentored individuals.\textsuperscript{161} This shows that the view that informal mentoring relationships will always be more beneficial than formal mentoring relationships is too simplistic; the level of satisfaction with the relationship is key. In some cases non-mentored individuals even expressed more positive attitudes than protégés in dissatisfying relationships.\textsuperscript{162} Formal protégés in effective mentoring programs reported more positive career and job attitudes than those in less effective programs, but only frequency of guidelines and a focus on career support made a program viewed as being more effective.\textsuperscript{163}

The results also showed that men and women reported equivalent benefits in job and career attitudes from having an informal mentor, but that men with formal mentors reported more career commitment than women with formal mentors. Women with a formal mentor even reported less career commitment than non-mentored men and women.\textsuperscript{164}

Moreover, by outcome measures of compensation and promotions, it does not appear to matter whether the mentoring relationship is part of a formal program of mentoring or something that occurs informally. The level of formality does seem to matter, however, in terms of overall benefits from the relationship, with informal mentoring providing greater overall benefits.\textsuperscript{165}

4. \textit{Costs and Benefits of Being a Mentor}

Studies have explored the relationship between anticipated costs and benefits of being a mentor, mentoring experience, and intentions to mentor.\textsuperscript{166} The primary benefit of being a mentor is the sense of satisfaction received from developing a junior employee.\textsuperscript{167} Mentors may also experience self-rejuvenation and receive a loyal base of support from their protégés.\textsuperscript{168} The costs of mentoring are that the relationship can turn into exploitation, the time demands are substantial, and there is a risk of being displaced or backstabbed by protégés.\textsuperscript{169} In addition, mentors may be viewed as giving an unfair advantage to their protégés, which may hurt the mentors’ reputation.\textsuperscript{170}

One study also found that expected costs and benefits were related to intentions to mentor, and that individuals with mentoring experience expressed a greater willingness to mentor than those without experience.\textsuperscript{171} The results of
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this study suggest that protégés may be more likely to become mentors than non-protégés. Yet, another study, somewhat to the contrary, found that those now serving as mentors were more favorable to the prospect of mentoring, while those who were now protégés were less favorably inclined toward mentoring. This may be because protégés assumed they imposed a great burden on their mentors.172

5. Selecting a Protégé

Studies have also considered the characteristics of a protégé that are most important to mentors.173 Based on social exchange theory, which views interactions between people as an exchange based on cost-benefit analysis, one might expect mentors to prefer protégés whom they anticipate will become successful—the ability/potential factor. 174 There is also some research suggesting that mentors select protégés based on the protégé’s need for help.175

However, Allen and her colleagues found that mentors are more likely to select protégés based on the protégé’s perceived ability and potential than on their need for help, and this was more true for female than male mentors.176 Possibly, females try to limit the risk associated with mentoring by selecting high potential protégés.177

Similarly, Judy D. Olian, Stephen J. Carroll, and Cristina M. Giannantonio found that a protégé’s past performance had significant effects on both a mentor’s intentions to engage in mentoring behaviors on behalf of the protégé and expected rewards from the relationship.178 Lower performing protégés were thus less likely to attract a mentor.

Yet, perceived barriers to mentoring were negatively related to selecting a protégé based on ability and potential.179 It could be that mentors who see great barriers do not want to overcome them for someone they already believe has high potential. It could also be that high ability/potential protégés are seen as more assertive, demanding more of a mentor’s time and resources. A positive relationship between mentor advancement aspirations and selecting protégés in need was also found.180 High aspiration mentors may be visible in the organization, attracting the attention of protégés in need, or they may view mentoring someone in need as a means to increase their own stature.181
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6. Negative Aspects of the Mentoring Relationship

Although mentoring relationships may be generally beneficial, there are some downsides to the relationship. Lillian T. Eby and her colleagues studied the negative aspects of the mentoring relationship, how often they occur, as well as when they are most likely to occur, by obtaining qualitative accounts from protégés. The 156 protégés in the study all reported one or more positive mentoring relationships and eighty-four, or 54%, reported at least one negative relationship. In total, 168 distinct negative experiences were reported, 85% occurring in same-sex relationships.

The study yielded five broad categories of negative experiences, in order of frequency: (1) mismatch with the dyad; (2) distancing behavior; (3) manipulative behavior; (4) lack of mentor expertise; and (5) general dysfunction. Within those themes, the most frequently reported negative experiences involved mentor neglect, mentors’ lack of interpersonal skills, mentor abuse of power, and the mentor having dissimilar values and work habits.

No support was found for the hypothesis that mentors would be more likely to have a background that differed from their protégés when a negative experience was reported; 53% of the protégés with negative experiences had a similar background as their mentor, compared to 61% for the positive experiences. It was, however, found that those with dissimilar attitudes and values were significantly more likely to report negative experiences. The hypothesis that having a direct supervisor as a mentor would increase the number of negative experiences was also not supported.

Victoria A. Parker and Kathy Kram have also identified factors that affect the ability of women to connect with one another in effective mentoring relationships. Senior women reported feeling discounted or overburdened as mentors, feeling afraid that mentoring will be risky to their careers, or that mentoring will take too much time. Junior women found senior women competitive with them or unreceptive. One possible contributing factor is that women’s family role as mothers may influence the mentor–protégé relationship. Junior women may be afraid they may be overpowered by a senior woman, or may be disappointed when their dependency needs are not
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Senior women may be afraid that junior women will be too dependent on them, and that they will expect them to be perfect.

Another factor concerns balancing family and career. Senior and junior women often do not discuss this central issue because they are afraid they will be judged by each other for the choices they are making or have made. Moreover, junior women may expect empathy and patience from senior women, while the senior women approach mentoring relationships with a masculine model in mind because that is what they were exposed to themselves and feel is needed to advance. Furthermore, senior women may look for support outside their organization because of lack of other senior women higher in the hierarchy at their firm. This may make junior women believe they are cold and detached from the firm. Finally, men may unconsciously act to keep women apart because it serves to maintain their own power base.

C. Functions of Networking

Networking, while an important skill for every businessperson, is especially beneficial to women looking to advance their careers. Networking allows an individual to increase visibility and is a good way to "get [one]self on the radar screen for future searches." In addition, participation in professional networks enhances industry knowledge and improves one's ability to offer innovative recommendations in the workplace, which has the added benefit of increasing visibility. In male-dominated industries, some women find that networking with men is not only beneficial to the advancement of their careers, but also essential. Female rappers, for example, "will not get a foot in the door unless a male artist walks in with them."

Forret and Dougherty explored the relationship between networking behaviors and career outcomes—the number of promotions, compensation, and perceived career success—and whether networking behavior is as beneficial for women as it is for men. The results of the study showed that increased internal visibility through networking was significantly related to promotions and compensation for men, but not for women. It may be that the assignments and committees in which women were involved were less prestigious than those dominated by men. Interestingly, increased internal visibility was significantly related to perceived career success for women, but
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not for men. It may also be that women strive more consciously to enhance their visibility, and as a result their efforts contribute to their perceptions of career success. Yet, engaging in professional activities was significantly related to perceived career success for men, but not for women. It could be that organizations value men’s professional activities more than women’s, or that men negotiate additional compensation for their professional activities.

1. Network Size

IJ. Hetty van Emmerik examined the relationship between mentoring constellations—the combination of mentoring relationships and developmental networking relationships—and intrinsic career success. Developmental network size was positively associated with intrinsic career success after controlling for having a mentor. However, the range of developmental network was not related to intrinsic career success. It could be that a greater range network makes persons realize their job is comparatively worse than others.

However, stability of the relationships was found to be positively related to career satisfaction, and frequency of contacts was found to be positively related to job satisfaction, which provides support for the hypothesis that after controlling for having a mentor, developmental relationship strength is positively associated with career success. However, emotional intensity was negatively associated with career satisfaction. Perhaps emotionally intense relationships become increasingly necessary the less satisfied one is with one’s job.

The study also showed that the size of men’s networks is not related to career satisfaction, but the size women’s networks is positively related to career satisfaction.

2. Boundaryless Careers

Forret and Dougherty studied 418 professionals to examine the relationship between personal and job characteristics and involvement in networking. They found networking to be an important career management strategy, particularly in the era of boundaryless careers. They define a boundaryless career as one in which an individual takes responsibility for his or her career and moves among various firms. Further, the structure of an individual’s networks is important in understanding networking behaviors. The more
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structural holes one has in one's network—i.e. the fewer redundant contacts one has—the more access to information and the greater one's social capital. 214

Monica C. Higgins and Kathy E. Kram introduced a typology of developmental networks in which the main dimensions are the diversity of individuals’ developmental networks and the strength of the relationships that make up the networks. 215 They similarly found developmental networks important in the boundaryless work environment. 216 These networks become increasingly important because firms no longer provide the primary anchor to a person’s identity. 217 Also, in keeping up with technological developments, individuals may need to draw on sources other than senior-level employees. Moreover, the workplace has become increasingly diverse, which "affects the needs and resources available for development." 218

3. Personal Characteristics

Forret and Dougherty studied the personal characteristics of those utilizing networks. 219 Contrary to their hypothesis, they found that gender was not related to involvement in networking. 220 This may be because feminine values, such as cooperation and building relationships are important in the boundaryless career, which benefits women. 221 However, they found socioeconomic background to be positively related to networking, as were self-esteem and attitudes toward workplace politics. 222 They also found that organizational level was positively related to networking, but holding a sales or marketing position bore only a limited relationship to involvement in networking. 223

4. Dimensions of Developmental Networks

Higgins and Kram identify four central concepts to the developmental network perspective. 224 The first—the network—is defined as "the set of people a protégé names as taking an active interest in and action to advance the protégé’s career by providing developmental assistance—i.e., career and psychosocial support." 225 The other concepts include: (1) the developmental relationships that make up the network; (2) the diversity of the network defined as the number of different social systems from which the ties originate; and (3)
the relationship strength—i.e. the level of emotional affection, reciprocity, and frequency of communication.226

Higgens and Kram further found four categories of developmental networks.227 The first is the entrepreneurial network, characterized by high developmental network diversity and high developmental relationship strength.228 This network is made up of developers who are highly motivated to act on behalf of the protégé and who provide access to a wide array of information.229 The second is the opportunistic network, characterized by high developmental network diversity and low developmental relationship strength.230 In this network, individuals are open to receiving developmental assistance from multiple sources, but are generally passive toward initiating and cultivating such relationships.231 Third is the traditional network, characterized by low developmental network diversity and high developmental relationship strength.232 The ideal type is composed of one strong tie to one social system and one additional tie from that system.233 The information received is likely to be highly similar. Fourth is the receptive network, characterized by low developmental network diversity and low developmental relationship strength.234 This network is made up of weak ties that come from the same social system.235

The authors expect that when the protégé and her developers care about career as well as psychosocial support, relationship ties will be stronger and yield either an entrepreneurial or traditional network.236 They also expect that individuals with entrepreneurial networks will be more likely to experience career change because they receive assistance from a variety of strong-tie sources.237 Furthermore, they expect that individuals with strong-tie relationships will experience more personal learning than those with weak ties because of the amount of psychosocial support involved.238 Another proposition the authors put forward is that individuals with traditional networks will experience the highest levels of organizational commitment.239 This is because strong-tie guidance will be provided only from within the organization.240 Finally, they expect protégés with receptive or opportunistic networks to experience lower levels of work satisfaction than individuals with the other kinds of networks; with only weak ties an individual is unlikely to
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experience the acceptance and confirmation of her work that comes with strong ties. 241

5. Network Dependency

James Michael and Gary Yukl examined managers’ internal and external networking behavior and network dependency. 242 Dependency is defined as the extent to which cooperation and support are needed to carry out a manager’s job responsibilities effectively and to achieve a desired rate of career advancement. 243

The results showed that middle-and upper-level managers had more external dependency than lower-level managers and did more external networking. 244 Middle- and upper-level managers also had more internal dependency than lower-level managers, and upper-level managers did more internal networking than middle-or lower-level managers. 245 It could be that because upper-level managers have greater status and power in the organization, it is easier for them to network. 246 It could also be that networking not only depends on the level of dependency but also on the source of dependency; upper-level managers are more dependent on subordinates of subordinates, whereas midlevel managers are more dependent on superiors. 247 Managerial function also affected both external dependency and external networking behavior; marketing and production managers had more external dependency than finance managers, and marketing managers also did more external networking. 248 The reasons most often given for dependency of network members were the need for information and the need for cooperation and coordination. 249

D. Networking and Mentoring Assist Men and Women Differently

A considerable body of mentoring research has focused on the possible differences in the way men and women use, respond to, and benefit from mentoring and networking. There is debate in the sociology literature about whether it is useful to study these possible differences as gender-based—i.e. the product of intrinsic gender-based qualities and conditions—or from the
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standpoint of how people in power-minority groups navigate intergroup power relations to accomplish what they seek. If female leadership aspirants and their companies understood that the barrier mentoring is designed to bypass is the state of "being female," then they would frame mentoring and networking programs differently than if they understood the barrier to lie in the distribution of organizational power along lines that produced sub-optimal allocation and deployment of human resources. The latter conceptual framework would suggest a different set of choices for women who aspire to top leadership. These perspectives are not mutually exclusive, but they represent significantly different approaches to scientific inquiry and are likely to lead to significantly different recommendations for women and companies. It seems that the preponderance of the literature takes the gender-based approach, but neither approach should be discarded at this point in the understanding of mentoring and networking phenomena.

1. Gender Differences in Mentoring

Historically, American women have been less likely to receive mentoring than American men, even though mentoring matters greatly for advancing to top leadership. Female managers who receive mentoring fare significantly better than their un-mentored counterparts, and there is a positive correlation between mentoring relations and self-reports of career success among women in a variety of professions. In addition, there is support for the idea that women mentees receive more and report greater benefit from, the psychosocial aspects of mentoring than do men. Men report greater benefit from career-oriented aspects of the mentor relationship. However, there is support for the proposition that women actually benefit more from the career development aspects of mentoring than from the psychosocial aspects. This finding could
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reflect the greater utility of career-oriented mentoring, or it could simply reflect the greater efficacy of receiving mentoring from the dominant gender. In fact, an independent line of work suggests the preeminent value of mentoring by a member of the dominant group. The advancement of women within an organization is positively related to the mentor functions of career development and giving legitimacy and credibility, but negatively correlated with psychosocial support. Taken together, it would follow that women in the United States who aspire to reach top leadership positions need to include a white male among their mentors.

Further research supports the proposition that the gender-homogenous or gender-diverse nature of the mentoring dyad affects the nature of the mentoring function. Male mentors routinely provide less psychosocial and role-modeling mentoring and more career development than do female mentors, despite whether the mentee is of the same or different gender. The career development function of a male mentor, however, is more robust and less psychosocial when the mentee is a female than when the mentee is a male. There is also evidence suggesting that the duration of a mentoring relationship moderates the effects of not sharing gender similarity with the mentor, in terms of emotional intensity of the relationship.

Men report seeking out mentors, initiating the relationship with them, and utilizing a larger number of mentors through the course of their careers, while women report having fewer mentors and a willingness to continue to be mentored at a higher age than men. This effect may not hold, however, for women who have reached elite levels of corporate leadership. These women may be more likely to have had a mentor and to report having had more mentors and mentors who were more highly placed in the organization than similarly situated men.
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Research also shows that female non-protégés have lower expectations with regard to advancement opportunities within the organization and for alternative employment elsewhere than female protégés, male protégés, and male non-protégés. Yet, female non-protégés reported neither diminished organizational commitment and job satisfaction nor enhanced role ambiguity compared to the other three groups. It may be that women believe a mentor is essential for career advancement and have lower mobility expectations in the absence of a mentoring relationship. Men on the other hand, do not see the absence of a mentor as reducing their chances of finding employment outside of their current organization. In contrast to female non-protégés, not having a mentor devalues the current work environment for male non-protégés. This may be because men are more likely to expect to obtain a mentor and when that does not happen, they reduce their psychological commitment to their current organization.

Ellen A. Fagenson studied 246 individuals in the health-care industry to examine whether male and high-level mentees have a more favorable job/career experience than female and low-level mentees. Fagenson found that individuals with mentors rated themselves as having significantly more career mobility, recognition, satisfaction, and promotions than did non-mentored individuals. She also found that high-level mentored individuals reported more career mobility and a higher degree of satisfaction than did low-level mentored individuals. Overall, however, mentoring was found to be gender egalitarian in its positive effect on an individual’s career outcomes.

Furthermore, there is adequate evidence that women executives recognize the need for mentoring but approach it differently. Women with mentors are more likely to report that they fell into a mentoring relationship rather than being selected for one or actively seeking one. Several reasons for women’s reluctance to seek out mentors are offered in the literature, including the sex-role expectations and limited access to suitable mentors. Traditional gender roles fix women in a passive position in the initiation of a relationship, although there is some evidence that they are not deterred from initiating mentoring relationships with men.
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278. Belle Rose Ragins & John L. Cotton, Easier Said Than Done: Gender Differences in Perceived Barriers to Gaining a Mentor, 34 ACAD. MGMT. J. 939, 940 (1991). Female mentors may be better role models for female protégés, and sexual issues are less likely to arise in same-gender mentorships. Ragins, Barriers to Mentoring, supra note 252, at 11. However, female mentors tend to be less powerful.
2. Cross-Gender Relationships

There are both positive and negative aspects to cross-gender mentoring. Researchers have found that females tend to provide more role-modeling and less career development than male mentors and that homogeneous male relationships offer less psychological support than female mentors in relationships with male protégés. Yet scholars have also found that contrary to expectations, male mentors did not provide more career support than did female mentors, but female mentors did provide more psychosocial support. Complicating matters further, psychosocial support may reduce women’s advancement more than men’s. Psychosocial support may not help women advance because it focuses on inward emotions and well-being rather than on helping women deal directly with obstacles in the external environment, as career mentoring does. Thus, career support from a female mentor may help advance women most effectively, but this must be balanced against the possible negative influence of the psychosocial mentoring.

However, cross-gender mentoring is thought to eliminate an often overlooked flaw in same-gender pairing: depriving “men in power of the opportunity to learn from the experiences and perceptions of promising women.” Providing men in power with female perspectives will not only help the men to become better managers, but it is also likely to improve the overall work environment for all employees, especially the females.

Raymond Noe studied the influence of protégés’ job and career attitudes, the gender composition of the mentoring dyad, the amount of time spent with the mentor, and the quality of the relationship on psychological and career benefits protégés gain from mentoring. The results showed that mentors with protégés of the opposite gender reported that these protégés utilized the relationship more effectively than protégés with the same gender as the mentor. It could be that protégés in cross-gender relationships work harder to make the relationship work because they are aware of the possible negative outcomes. Mentors also reported that females use the relationship more
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effectively than males. Perhaps women are more motivated to use the relationship because of a limited number of available mentors. Protégé job and career attitudes were unaffected by the time spent with the mentor or by the quality of the relationship. Protégés who had high levels of job involvement or engaged in career planning, reported receiving more psychosocial benefits than did protégés with low levels of job involvement or underdeveloped career plans.

Yet, research has not disclosed many cross-gendering mentoring models. Suggested reasons for this discrepancy include: (1) women’s lack of access to informal networks; (2) stereotypical beliefs that women are not as suited as men for leadership; and (3) sexual connotations. A gender-neutral approach may be better because both genders offer different perspectives of an organization and its culture, and both perspectives can be educational.

Research suggests that cross-gender mentoring relationships provide fewer role modeling functions than same-gender relationships, possibly because role modeling is more difficult when the mentors and protégés have different social identities based on their gender. Although protégés report more frequent contact with and greater liking for mentors of the same gender, research has also found no difference in mentoring functions received between homogeneous and diversified relationships. This may be because protégés respond more to a mentor’s power than gender, or because mentoring is more related to gender roles than biological sex.

Commentators have expressed a number of theories to explain the paucity of cross-gender mentoring relationships. In some contexts, women may be perceived as more likely to fail to thrive in the organization, which reflects poorly on the mentor. There may be negative signals in the workplace about the suitability of women as mentees for male mentors. Either the mentor or
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mentee may be leery of the possibility of sexual involvement in cross-gender mentoring.\textsuperscript{301} Sexual involvement, or even the appearance or speculation of sexual involvement, may itself pose a barrier to women’s advancement in the organization and cause a woman to be reluctant to initiate a mentoring relationship with a man.\textsuperscript{302} Selecting a female mentor poses few of these particular risks, but female mentors may be scarce. Even though women express a willingness to serve as mentors equally as often as do men,\textsuperscript{303} the relative imbalance of males and females at senior and junior levels makes cross-gender mentoring inevitable if mentoring of women is to occur.\textsuperscript{304} Female mentors are often lacking because of a lack of women in high positions.\textsuperscript{305} Although cross-gender programs may not be specifically intended to benefit women, the sociological theories of power suggest that they may help women’s advancement more than men’s.\textsuperscript{306} This is because men are generally in the power-dominant group, while women are in the less advantageous group.\textsuperscript{307} In cross-gender pairs, women mentees will be able to take advantage of mentors in this power-dominant group.\textsuperscript{308}

3. \textit{Gender Differences in Networking}

Although the propensity of men and women to engage in networking behavior is about the same,\textsuperscript{309} the actual networking methods of men and women are different.\textsuperscript{310} Research has disclosed a difference in both the reality and the expectations for networking between men and women.\textsuperscript{311} Female executives were more likely than male executives to report feeling excluded from access to informal networks, and to the extent they were able to gain access, it was through their mentors.\textsuperscript{312} In a qualitative study of top executives in the insurance industry, Schor observed that women reported that they “initiated more work-based relationships, went to more work-related functions, and invited more co-workers to lunch than did men.”\textsuperscript{313} Men, on the other hand, were more likely to engage in networks outside the work setting and to socialize
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with co-workers and their families. To the extent that women perceived themselves to be excluded from outside socializing, it would follow that their networking efforts would be work-based.

E. Mentoring Models and Business Practices

The above theories explain why some individuals are more successful at mentoring and networking than others. People who are lacking in social or cultural capital and are not from a high socioeconomic class may miss out on the benefits of mentoring and networking. This is unfortunate, because these may be the people who stand to benefit most from mentoring and networking. A number of companies in the United States and Europe have taken initiatives to remedy this disparity by implementing formal mentoring programs that are accessible to all employees regardless of their status. In addition, mentoring can increase the communication within an organization and help in merging different cultures.

1. Formal Programs

According to Ronald J. Burke and Carol A. McKeen, when establishing a formal mentoring program it is important to set goals and obtain support from the highest management levels. There also must be a way to attain the goals, either by educating the employees on the importance of mentoring or by making structural changes within an organization—e.g. rewards for participating in mentoring programs. Moreover, they find that being a mentor should be voluntary, and protégés should have a say in the matching process. It is suggested that a good structure may be to have a mentor outside the protégé’s department, about two levels up in the organizational hierarchy.

Blake-Beard identifies five issues women should pay attention to in entering into a formal mentoring relationship. First, it is important to avoid unrealistic expectations—a formal mentoring relationship may not be able to provide the same benefits as an informal relationship. Second, mentoring relationships may fail due to lack of attraction or similarities between the mentor and protégé because they did not seek each other out. Third, mentees should try to maintain the relationship after its formal duration. Fourth, the relationship should be based on reciprocity so that it will be rewarding for both individuals.
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parties involved. Finally, the better relationships should try to find the appropriate level of intimacy (the developmental dilemma).

2. Group Mentoring

Gender-neutral programs include both group mentoring programs and community service programs. One type of program has been described as a Strategic Collaboration Model. This model focuses on succession planning. In other words, a company that elects to use the Strategic Collaboration Model will position individuals to assume increasing levels of responsibility and then will "groom" them into upper-management material. The most interesting element of the Strategic Collaboration Model is that it uses a group mentoring approach.

Pursuant to this model, a team helps junior members obtain promotions more quickly. Anyone can become a part of the team; there is no selection requirement by executives or protégés. Group mentoring is especially valuable in helping to eliminate the gossip factor because there are always groups of people meeting. By utilizing a group mentoring program rather than an individualized approach, companies can avoid the dreaded accusation of favoritism, which is often cited by ex-employees as their main reason for leaving their last place of employment.

Furthermore, group mentoring provides a social benefit to mentees as well because it "provides opportunities for members to become integrated into the group's culture." In other words, by participating in group mentoring, newcomers can obtain a feel for the work environment and will have an easier time adjusting to the group expectations and norms. Along these lines, group mentoring promotes feelings of inclusion and belonging. Participation in group mentoring is also linked to higher salaries because it allows participants to "observe and model the behaviors exhibited by other, higher status members." The relationship between mentorship participation and salary could also be related to the increased sense of professionalism reported by individuals who were mentees in comparison to those who were not.

There are a few other noteworthy benefits of group mentoring taken from the context of e-mentoring programs. E-mentoring programs use computer-mediated communications, such as e-mail and chat rooms, in the mentoring
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process. Protégés can deal with change and acquire new knowledge more effectively. There is less pressure placed on mentors. And finally, protégés can take responsibility for initiating contact.336

3. Lateral versus Hierarchical Mentoring

Lillian T. Eby discusses a typology of mentoring based on the form of the relationship (lateral or hierarchical) and the type of skill development obtained through the mentoring relationship (job-related or career-related).337 The traditional mentoring relationship is hierarchical between a senior and junior member of the same organization and is focused on the junior’s advancement within that organization.338 Yet today’s organizations are characterized by less job security and increased peer relationships among employees, so that lateral mentoring and experiences that diversify a person’s skills may become increasingly important.339

The first type of lateral mentoring is the “lateral mentor-protégé relationship, job-related skill development.”340 This refers to relationships among individuals who are at comparable organizational levels in the same organization and the focus is on skills that will help the protégé advance within that organization.341 One form is peer mentoring, but other forms such as intrateam mentoring are also possible.342 The second type of mentoring is the “lateral mentor-protégé relationship, career-related skill development.”343 The skills developed in this type of relationship are career-enhancing and easily transportable to other organizations—e.g., diversifying career interests and obtaining information on other organizations. Moreover, the relationship includes contacts outside of one’s own department or organization. The third type of mentoring is the “hierarchical mentor-protégé relationship, job-related skill development.”344 This most closely resembles the traditional mentoring relationship in that it exists between a senior and junior member of the same organization and is focused on developing job-related skills which may not be so readily transportable to another organization.345 The last type is the “hierarchical mentor-protégé relationship, career-related skill development.”346 This relationship is also between a senior and junior member, but focuses on skills that the protégé can use in other organizations.347 One form of this type of
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mentoring is group professional association mentoring, where the professional organization as a whole serves as the mentor.348

4. Mosaic Mentoring

Mosaic mentoring refers to having multiple mentors for different purposes at the same time or at different points in a career. For example, a new female faculty member might have a mentor to help set up a laboratory and give feedback on early articles, another could introduce her to important people in the national organizations and help her get on the right committees, and later, another might help her get to a leadership position within the school or university.349 This form of mentoring increases the scope of and opportunities for learning. Further, it distributes the mentoring workload. It can also help benefit an expatriate working abroad, her home organization, and the organization where she is currently working.350 In the boundaryless economy, it is a way to transfer knowledge across locations and borders.351

5. Community Service

Another type of program utilized by some firms involves community service. Corporate volunteering refers to company-wide community service activities.352 In the corporate world, volunteerism can serve as a "win-win-win," in that the business, the employees, and the relevant community can all benefit.353 Volunteer activities benefit the business by facilitating staff development, enhancing the reputation of the business, and serving as an investment in the community in which the business operates. In addition, employees benefit from corporate volunteering because it provides them with additional opportunities for peer interaction, including interaction across departments.354 It seems that these programs would provide opportunities for networking.
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6. Programs Just for Women

Although theory suggests women in United States culture benefit most from having a male mentor, there are a number of existing programs that link businesswomen with other businesswomen to help promote the success of women and to provide them with the opportunity to exchange ideas. In the Buffalo Niagara Partnership’s Woman to Woman mentoring program, seventy mid-level business women "mentees" were paired with thirty-five top-level local executive women "mentors."355 The mentors provide executive coaching and consulting. The program also holds workshops on mentoring and has helped to develop a mentoring program for female executives at UPS.356

Women indicate they like women-to-women programs because of the psychological support they provide.357 Additionally, women feel less left out and disappointed than when they are mentoring and networking with men. But, although women do indeed benefit from psychological support, they may need to find ways to overcome the feelings of disappointment and exclusion and engage in mentoring and networking relationships with men. Not only are men in the power-dominant group, they also provide more career-mentoring than their female counterparts, which helps women advance more than psychological support.358 Sumru Erkut notes that "the upper levels of management have been occupied mostly by men [so] they are the ones holding the power, and women don’t have the opportunity to network with them."359 Moreover, other commentators have found that although women may have more extensive networks than men, "men’s networks include more high-status, influential individuals."360

Furthermore, exposure to the leadership styles of the opposite sex is likely to provide benefits. According to Kathy Hannan, men have a different perspective on organization and its culture.361 To see a business issue through a man’s lens might provide a businesswoman with a broader perspective than a mentoring session with another woman.362 Female entrepreneurs can benefit from more mainstream networks in this regard. One of the reasons for entrepreneurs to network is to swap skills.363

Other concerns are that by participating in women-only networks, a woman may inadvertently be communicating that she has a negative relationship with a male colleague or some other personal problem. On the
other hand, some women find women-only networks essential for circumventing men's organizational power. Amanda Boyle, a strong role model and mentor for businesswomen in Scotland commenting on her experience with women-only programs, stated that she believed that these programs "gave people the confidence to make a difference." 

Women who participate in Forward Ladies, a women-only network, have identified the following benefits from networking with other women: (a) women are more interested in what you are doing and they do not walk off uninterested; (b) it is less intimidating to network with women because women are more easy-going; and (c) like-minded businesswomen build relationships and do business with each other. Unlike men, "women network to build relationships and an ongoing support base." On the other hand, "men network to get something done so it's very linear, strategic, intentional." Additionally, by focusing on women only, it may be easier to hone in on gender-specific challenges, which can lead to more effective solutions. For example, the Women's Networking Support Project (WNSP) identified an inequity in the number of women and men online. This inequity negatively impacted women's abilities to "communicate, access information, and build strategic global alliances." With that specific challenge in mind, WNSP provided free on-site computer training workshops for women that covered topics ranging from introductory e-mail to organizational efficiency and impact training.

In addition to the benefits of same-gender associations that stem from similarities in behaviors, emotional expectations and interests, research suggests that same-gender role models may prove to be more effective than cross-gender role-models. In the academic setting, for example, it has been theorized that segregated teaching of certain subjects will increase female participation in the subject. This theory may be applicable to the business world.

Like the trend of racial minority group members who benefit from witnessing the successes of other members of the minority group with which they identify, females may derive a special benefit from the success of another female, in-group member. Within their fields, women are inspired by other outstanding women, but not by outstanding men. Females indicated "stronger beliefs that they were currently like the models and might become like the model in the future when they were exposed to a successful woman rather than
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It is interesting that females indicate a stronger belief that they are like female role-models, or will become like them, considering that women tend to choose female role models who "overturned rather than confirmed traditional gender role stereotypes." Traditional stereotypes predict that women will apply collaborative leadership styles, and men will apply authoritarian leadership styles. As Peter Gregg, president of The President’s Team of Calgary explains, "The typical male CEO is still locked in command-and-control while women want more feedback and involvement." With respect to skill-based stereotypes, women are stereotyped as possessing more person-oriented skills than task-oriented skills in comparison to men.

Women look to other women who illustrate the possibility of overcoming the barriers that businesswomen face as role models. The women who "illustrate the possibility of overcoming such barriers" also happen to be the same women who do not conform to traditional gender role stereotypes. Thus, one could infer that women who confirm traditional gender-role stereotypes do not serve as effective role models because they do not serve as sufficient illustrations of the possibility of breaking through the glass ceiling. Perhaps women would benefit from a movement in corporate culture away from masculinity, rather than movement in the pool of businesswomen away from femininity. In fact, many women who attempt to adopt male behaviors have found that it has not contributed to their career success, "nor did their experience help create a more hospitable setting for future generations of women."

7. Cross-Company Mentoring and Networking Programs

Women need career and psychological support from mentors, and in addition, they need role-models. Because there may not be enough suitable mentors available at one company, there are several benefits to implementing a mentorship program that pairs protégés with mentors from outside their office. This method not only helps to avoid internal competition and conflicts of interest, but it also allows protégés the opportunity to freely express uncertainties and to bond with mentors across sectors and long distances. Additionally, cross-company mentoring may provide executives with
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independent views on their careers as well as an insight into their roles.\textsuperscript{383} Identified goals of cross-company mentoring include: increasing female representation in board rooms, helping chairmen to identify candidates with the right experience, and "widening the pools in which everyone is fishing."\textsuperscript{384}

\subsection*{a. European Companies}

In Europe, where few senior women can be found at most companies, sharing top executives from a number of member companies has been an effective use of the cross-company networking and mentoring scheme. Deutsche Bank, for example, has a formal mentoring program that provides female executives with access to senior managers from other companies.\textsuperscript{385} Similarly, Norsk Hydro uses detailed psychological evaluations to match businesswomen with senior mentors from both public and private sectors.\textsuperscript{386} This program has been well-received by the participants.\textsuperscript{387} One protégé from Norsk Hydro, Hilde Myrberg, for example, believes that meeting with a cross-sectoral chief executive gave her confidence by helping her to become familiar with the types of decisions for which she would be responsible.\textsuperscript{388}

In addition to aiding women in developing the necessary professional skills, cross-company programs also increase women's visibility and expand their networks. According to Alison Maitland in the United Kingdom, about thirty relationships have been formed between chairmen or chief executives and aspiring women just below the board level in non-competing companies.\textsuperscript{389} These relationships are beneficial because leadership and awareness help overcome gender-based biases, and men and women need to become more "fluent in each other's languages."\textsuperscript{390}

Although not a mentoring or networking program per se, a unique initiative undertaken to improve the status of women in corporate leadership is a quota system recently adopted in Norway. Norway's quota system requires that women occupy 40\% of board seats in corporations.\textsuperscript{391} Needless to say, Norwegian companies have been progressing quickly in the direction of getting more women on executive boards.\textsuperscript{392} If a company does not meet the quota, they may face government sanctions.\textsuperscript{393}

In the Netherlands, there is no quota system, but there is an emphasis placed on raising the visibility of female boardroom candidates.\textsuperscript{394} Other Dutch
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initiatives also include networking with male board directors and training women in boardroom skills.\textsuperscript{395}

The FTSE Female Index ranks the top 100 companies according to the proportion of women on their boards. This "praising-and-shaming exercise" is a unique form of pressure on companies to promote more women.\textsuperscript{396}

b. Examples of Organizations Facilitating Networking: Women into the Network (WIN) and Business Link

The Women into the Network (WIN) is an organization that matches protégés with mentors from other companies and sometimes from distant locations. WIN, called UK’s best practice initiative for promoting female entrepreneurship, utilizes activities such as online services, newsletter publication, role-model publications, and research into provision of business support. Through various programs, WIN provides encouragement, education, and mutual support to businesswomen.\textsuperscript{397} One of WIN’s programs, Mentoring Women into Business, links entrepreneurs to male and female mentors from various regions of the world through the Internet. The use of the Internet allows for twenty-four hour support. The program also brings women of certain regions together so that they can share their enthusiasm and experiences with each other.\textsuperscript{398}

In Durham County, Newcastle, Business Link joins with other agencies and organizations to give women easy access to appropriate support. In order to identify which barriers exist and to determine which areas of support are needed, Business Link uses workshops and focus groups.\textsuperscript{399} For female entrepreneurs in Canada, common hurdles that have been identified include difficulty obtaining funding, entry into high-risk industries, and avoidance of traditional business associations that men use to develop business contacts.\textsuperscript{400}

c. American Executives Mentoring Women from Jerusalem

Top American executives have participated in special mentoring sessions to help new immigrants from Jerusalem enter the business world. These sessions provide special training programs which allow the new immigrants to brainstorm with experienced businesspeople. According to Gail Lichtman, the program was useful in helping the prospective businesspeople to develop a "skills database."\textsuperscript{401} The program also provides money to start new businesses or to expand existing ones.\textsuperscript{402}

\textsuperscript{395} Id.
\textsuperscript{396} Id.
\textsuperscript{398} Id. at 28.
\textsuperscript{399} Id.
\textsuperscript{400} Laura Ramsay, \textit{A League of Their Own}, \textit{THE GLOBE & MAIL} (Can.), Nov. 29, 2002, at B11.
\textsuperscript{401} Gail Lichtman, \textit{Spreading the Wealth}, \textit{JERUSALEM POST}, Apr. 29, 2005, at 12.
\textsuperscript{402} Id.
8. **Pairing Methods**

There are a number of different ways protégés can be matched with mentors. Regardless of the method selected, ideally the mentor will be at least two levels above the protégé, and should not be in a direct reporting relationship with the protégé’s supervisor.403

Some companies use random matching. Others allow mentors and protégés to select each other from a book of profile sheets. The majority of companies use “vocational sector” or “similarity of interests” as the primary matching criteria.404

V. **CONCLUSION**

Networking and mentoring programs are important for career advancement; however, they are not gender neutral, no matter how they are labeled. Not only do men and women have different expectations about networking and mentoring programs, but they also face different consequences from participating in them.405

Women-only networks are thriving, and there is probably a good reason for it. Although networking with women in mid-level positions is not likely to lead to a promotion or salary increase, these networks provide valuable emotional support. But as they are structured now, the majority of mentoring and networking programs appear to broaden the gap between the sexes rather than level the playing field. For a woman who truly has career advancement in mind, participation in a more mainstream network is highly advised. Perhaps the best solution for women is to participate in various networks for various purposes—such as emotional support and career growth. Moreover, cross-company and cross-gender programs have characteristics that are likely to combat the advantages of men over women. A cross-company, cross-gender approach seems to be ideal for women who are looking to move up in their companies.

Furthermore, it is critical for a research agenda concerning women in top leadership to consider both international and multinational aspects of the phenomenon. This article has reviewed and analyzed the literature on an important aspect of pathways to leadership—mentoring and networking. A further research agenda is needed to identify: (1) what, if any, of the knowledge gained through research on mentoring and networking in the North American experience may be useful in a multicultural, multinational context; (2) what are the special circumstances of mentoring across national boundaries that may inform companies that seek to draw fully on human resources in top leadership?
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management; (3) whether mentoring, and perhaps cross-cultural mentoring, is useful in bridging the cultural and national chasms encountered in doing business globally; and (4) to what extent cross-cultural mentoring affects the variables and outcomes observed in the literature documenting the North American experience with mentoring and networking.

Finally, American firms and their legal counsel must consider that mentoring and networking programs have become such an accepted and necessary part of career success that when women or minorities are significantly underrepresented in an organization's top ranks, it may be necessary, as either a legal or advisory matter, to implement a mentoring program in order to avoid charges of discrimination under Title VII.406 This could be in the form of an affirmative action program or a voluntary program designed to break the glass ceiling and achieve the desired diversity and full utilization of the firm's human capital resources. Much is yet to be learned from studying the barriers that might impede these pathways, and from developing mentoring and networking programs to help overcome these barriers. In particular, a firm that seeks to better level the playing field for women—for any of these reasons—should attend to the empirical evidence that guides the construction of such a program.