NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

The staff of the Alaska Law Review is pleased to present our June 2007 issue. The Year-in-Review continues to be published online at http://www.law.duke.edu/journals/alr.

We hope that the five pieces in this issue appeal to the varied sectors of the Alaska legal community. The Comment by Teresa Carns examines Alaska’s responses to the landmark United States Supreme Court decision in Blakely v. Washington. Fred Triem’s Comment describes how the Alaska Supreme Court and other courts nationwide have confused the Business Judgment Rule with corporate directors’ duty of care, and concludes with some reasons why the Business Judgment Rule should not be codified. The Comment by Keith Rogers discusses Alaska law concerning close corporations, and proposes a new framework within which Alaska should consider minority-shareholder rights. Next, Chris Lott’s Note examines the current educational-adequacy litigation in Moore v. State, and suggests there is support for finding an adequacy standard in the Education Clause of the Alaska Constitution. Finally, Erik Smith’s Note discusses the legal and factual basis for the use of Dental Therapists to provide dental healthcare to Alaska Natives, and argues their use will both improve Native dental health and encourage the dental industry to more seriously address the nationwide need for low-cost care for underserved populations.

Of course, we encourage members of the Alaska Bar to submit responses, articles, and comments for publication, or to contact us with feedback or questions regarding the journal. For details regarding manuscript submission and selection criteria, please visit our website at http://www.law.duke.edu/journals/alr. We can be reached via email at alr@law.duke.edu.

It has been a great privilege to manage the Alaska Law Review this year, and I thank the many attorneys, scholars, and students who have contributed their hard work to this issue and to the Year-in-Review.

Ian Miller